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Abstract

My thesis examines *Ottawa, Carleton University Library, Archives and Research Collections Ms. 1* (hereby referred to as the Carleton Antiphonary) as a medieval artefact. Lack of resources devoted to cataloguing has left many North American manuscripts hidden and understudied, and such was the fate of the Carleton Antiphonary. This project digitizes and analyses this unique compound manuscript to increase the accessibility of digital facsimiles. Furthermore, the codicological evidence proves this artefact is worth studying as a devotional object, as it demonstrates long-standing use over the last five hundred years.

The goal of this project has been twofold. First, it has been to bring this medieval codex to light by making it accessible online. Secondly, the goal has been to share the process by which others may make medieval materials accessible using open-source tools and the coding purpose made for this project.

To access the website, copy and paste this URL into your preferred browser:
https://carleton-antiphonary.herokuapp.com
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Introduction

In the European tradition, medieval manuscripts are hand-made objects, such as codices and scrolls, completed during the Middle Ages (roughly fifth - sixteenth century CE). These objects are often illuminated (hand-painted in metallic paints of gold and silver) and feature art within their margins as decorations. Others are more simple, using only black (or dark brown) and red inks for the text and structure with little or no decoration.

Medieval manuscripts had less diversity of contents than the modern literary repertoire. Charters, religious texts, and philosophical works (Plato and Aristotle being popular topics) were among the most common genres of medieval manuscripts. Of the religious manuscripts, the most common were within the Christian tradition but Judaic and Islamic codices were also circulated in Europe.

Because each medieval manuscript is handmade and handwritten, each is distinct in both material and structure, as well as content. Digitizing often means that two dimensional images are generated as a means to reproduce them. Unfortunately, digitization means that there are inevitably aspects of the physical object which are missing in the digital format (spatial evidence, touch, and even sound or smell). However, reproducing manuscripts digitally can be more faithful to their original nature than a printed edition. Medieval liturgical manuscripts are naturally hypertextual because each line represents a connection to another manuscript or memorized text from which the manuscript draws or copies. However, the relationship between manuscripts and the language of liturgy has now been lost. That is why manuscripts need to be contextualised in a larger body of works that would have been common knowledge to the monk. In other words, culturally ingrained knowledge of the liturgy formed many layers of understanding in the medieval mind that can be reproduced best
in a non-linear, hypertext format. This way, information can be accessed ad hoc and can be as general or thorough as the need demands.¹

Although it is clear that our understanding of medieval artefacts can be deepened by the digital format, it is also important to consider how the digital turn changes how people interact with manuscripts. Importantly, I have found that the tools I have used to produce the interactive transcription (from digital camera to Transkribus) have shaped the outcome of the digitization and therefore influences how the end user will perceive and interact with the manuscript. Notably, because I did not have access to a large format scanner, a digital camera was used to produce the images hosted on the website. This has created consistency issues in both lighting and sizing. Still, many humanists encourage the digital turn. In fact, a major essay collection on the topic, *Meeting the Medieval in a Digital World*, published in 2018, compels scholars to see themselves as not only medievalists, humanists, etc. but as digital humanists also. The work outlines exciting and novel ways to interact with manuscripts using digital tools and the final chapter even acts as a roadmap for scholars hoping to bridge the gap between their own disciplines and the digital realm.²

The manuscript that is digitally reproduced here is *Ottawa, Carleton University Library, Archives and Research Collection MS. 1* (referred to as the Carleton Antiphonary). Like all medieval manuscripts it is distinct in both material and structure, as well as content. It is a compound manuscript i.e. containing two or more previously separate manuscripts that are bound together in a modern binding. My palaeographical analysis reveals that it has four distinct book hands and has been passed between several

---

¹ Capelli, Roberta. “Practical and Theoretical Implications of Digitizing the Middle Ages” *CLCWeb: Comparative Literature and Culture* 15.3 (2013): <https://doi.org/10.7771/1481-4374.2248>

different owners. The primary manuscript (f.1r - 61v) is consistent with an Iberian late-fifteenth/early sixteenth century provenance and the secondary manuscript (f.62r -64v) corresponds with fourteenth century Gothic Quadrata manuscripts copied in southern Europe. This we know thanks to comparison with digital reproductions of other Iberian manuscripts online, which allows us to study the palaeography of manuscripts and understand their relationship to one another in time and place. Finally, the isolated final leaf (f.65r - 65v) is likely a later addition to the composite manuscript and is compatible with southern European rotunda manuscripts.

Both manuscripts are incomplete texts taken from Christian liturgical manuscripts which features plainchant, religious songs that are meant to be sung by a choir, usually without accompanying music. The first manuscript, which will be referred to as part A, includes a tonary, followed by sections from the canonical hours (or liturgy of the hours): the office of the dead and various feasts days. This manuscript is termed the Antiphonary because it is largely a collection of antiphons, short chants that draw their lyrics from the Psalms. These were commonly found in monastic settings since choir books featuring antiphons were integral to the daily monastic ritual. This part was created for practical and pedagogical use by its Franciscan owners. The book demonstrates long-standing use as it has been repaired continuously. However, the second manuscript (part B) is a hymnal, demonstrating a clear distinction from part A.

There are no accession records about the entry of the manuscript into Carleton’s Archive and Research Collection (ARC), but a donation plate added to the interior binding suggests that the manuscript may have been donated to St. Patrick’s College before its dissolution in 1973 at which time the library was amalgamated with
Carleton’s. There are no records to indicate its provenance prior to this time, so we must depend on physical evidence to indicate the provenance for the manuscript.

Carleton’s ARC has been collecting manuscript fragments for teaching purposes since the 1960s. ARC also has a second liturgical chant manuscript in its holdings (Ms.2) as well as eleven additional medieval documents including leaves from Books of Hours and bibles, and two English charters.
Chapter 1: Physical Description of Ms.1

The Carleton Antiphonary is a codex which is composed of two manuscripts bound together, with a distinct final page appended to the end. The codex is made up of 65 folios (130 pages). The text is copied in a single column of six lines in black ink with six corresponding four-line staves in red ink, with the exception of the final page where 5-line staves appear. There are no page numbers present on either of the manuscripts nor the final page. The primary manuscript or part A (f.1r - 61v) is consistent with an Iberian late-fifteenth/early sixteenth century provenance. The second, much smaller manuscript, part B (f.63r - 64v) corresponds with 14th century gothic quadrata manuscripts copied in southern Europe. The Carleton Antiphonary also features a curiously distinct final page (65r-65v) which may be the result of a different scribe or could be from another separate manuscript, and is compatible with southern european rotunda manuscripts. The following physical description therefore is divided into three parts: part A and part B and the final page which is dealt with separately from the two larger parts for clarity.

1.1 Mise-en-page and Pagination

Part A (Primary manuscript)

The first and largest manuscript that makes up the Carleton Antiphonary ranges from f.1r – 61v (133 folios). The folios have a height of 382 mm and a width of 286 mm. The text is copied in a single column of six lines with six corresponding four-line staves. The margins are 31 mm wide on the interior margin and 54 mm on the outer.

---

3 Folios from the first quire (esp. f.2r as it has little wear on the pages) were referenced as the standard sample for measurements.
4 Without access to the manuscript due to COVID-19 closures, correct measurements for the staves cannot be taken at this time.
The height from the top of the page to the top of the first line of music is 21 mm and 86 mm from the bottom of the final line of text to the bottom of the page. Some slight variation exists when the folios have been worn down or cropped. There are no page numbers present on either of the manuscripts. There is a single guideword in the primary bookhand present throughout the manuscript found in part A on folio 8v (Figure 1). This folio marks the end of the first quire.

But there are several guidewords found in the margins in a lighter, brown, ink, discussed in more detail below.

(Figure 1: catchword in primary bookhand, black ink on folio 8v)

Part B (Secondary manuscript)

Folios 63r - 65v differ from the standard layout of part A. The leaves are slightly smaller than part A — roughly 281 mm in width and 378 mm in height. Unlike the very uniform Part A, the folios of part B show slight variations between 2 and 6 mm difference in height and width. Folio 63r-64v features slanted lines for musical notation, a difference of 4 mm from one end to the other. This is an indication that this manuscript may be earlier than part A, copied at a time when lines were drawn by hand or after drypoint ruling rather than by plummet (leadpoint) ruling, which left visible lines on the page.
Curiously, the final leaf (f.65r - 65v) features five-line staves which are not present at any other point in the manuscript (other than on the later added manuscript fragments used for repairs or annotation, discussed below).\footnote{Again, without access to the manuscript due to COVID-19 closures, correct measurements for this final page cannot be taken at this time.}

1.2 Binding and Quires

The binding is currently deteriorating. The front cover and initial quire detached as a result of use in 2017. It is unclear when the manuscripts were rebound together to create the compound manuscript but perhaps a more in-depth study of the binding method and materials used could provide clarity. It is a leather-wrapped cardboard binding with a cotton fabric added to reinforce the spine of the manuscript (Figure 3).

(Figure 2: The spine of the Carleton Antiphonal)

The Carleton Antiphonary is composed of ten quires comprised of eight folios each, except where pages have been removed. See the following table:
Table 1: Quires

Part A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quire no. (in order)</th>
<th>No. of folios in quire</th>
<th>Folio range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1r - 8v</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9r - 16v</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>17r - 24v</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>25r - 31v</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>32r - 38v</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>39r - 46v</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>47r - 54v</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>55r - 61v</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Part B

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quire no. (in order)</th>
<th>No. of folios in quire</th>
<th>Folio range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>62r - 64v</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Final Page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quire no. (in order)</th>
<th>No. of folios in quire</th>
<th>Folio range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>65r - 65v</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This results in a total number of 65 folios throughout ten quires. Therefore, there are a total of fifteen missing or removed pages, if we assume a standard 8-folio quire. Quire 4 has two removed leaves from the current binding (between f.28v - 29r), cut out which have left imprecise edges (Figure 3). Quire 5 has a missing page which was removed prior to current binding (between f.33v - 34r.). Quire 8, the final quire of part A (between f.61v - 62r) has its final leaf removed with a jagged cut from scissors (figure 4). Quire 9, or part B, has had its final five leaves removed prior to the current binding (between 64v - 65r). Finally, quire 10 is a standalone leaf (and therefore missing seven leaves) which is likely a distinct leaf sourced from a separate manuscript which has
been appended to the end of the Carleton Antiphonary, which will be discussed more fully below.

Carleton University does not have any of the removed leaves in its holdings.

(Figure 3: f.28v - 29r, uneven edges where the parchment was cut)

(Figure 4: f.61v - 62r, jagged edges from where the parchment was cut with scissors)

1.3 Palaeography (Scribal Hands) and Ink

The Carleton Antiphonary features four distinct bookhands. The main bookhand (bookhand 1) is a Spanish Rotunda script from the fifteenth century. The second bookhand (bookhand 2) appears to be a Humanist script, copied much later onto the original parchment. Both are found in Part A of the manuscript. The third bookhand (bookhand 3) appears on manuscript part B (the manuscript appended to the end of the
main manuscript) and is an early form (likely fourteenth century) of Southern Textualis, a script found in southern Europe.

The final leaf (f. 65r - 65v) presents bookhand 4, a Rotunda script featuring very rounded letterforms. Although we see differences between scribal hands in the Bookhand 1 in primary manuscript, this bookhand presents a significant departure from those letterforms. The rubrication is also distinct, with added features to accompany five-line staves, which are also unique to the final leaf. It will be treated separately from the primary bookhand for these reasons.

Additionally, at least one early modern and one modern script can be found in the margins and in line with the text of the manuscript.

Bookhand 1 (f. 1r - 42r; 44r - 61v)

The first and most widely used bookhand in the codex, this bookhand is an example of fifteenth-century Southern Textualis (Rotunda). It features uniquely Spanish characteristics such as:

- The ‘a’ which closes its upper lobe with a spiralling hairline. (Figure 5)
- The usage of ‘et’ in full. Italian Rotunda features the Tironian ‘et’. In Spain, these fusions were rarely used. This manuscript features no Tironian ‘et’ in the Rotunda Book Hand.
- The lobed ‘s’ done in two strokes, sometimes barely or only partially connected, is characteristic of southern European book hands. (Figure 6)

This bookhand helps us date part A of the manuscript as we see similarities in letterforms and aesthetics of Spanish chant manuscripts from 1501-1600 (see plates 1-
5). Furthermore, during the fourteenth century onward Iberian scribes often included characteristic decorative hairlines on the final letter of important words which can be seen throughout the first bookhand (Figure 7). This palaeographical evidence suggests that this part of the manuscript (part A) was copied after the mid fifteenth but certainly by the mid-sixteenth century.

![Image](figure 5: f.33r)  ![Image](figure 6: f.26r)  ![Image](figure 7: f.9v)

Bookhand 2 (f. 42r - 43r)

The second bookhand is a half-cursiva (hybrida) script. This hand is clearly a later and less polished addition to the manuscript. Bookhand 1 ends the text halfway through f. 42r, but lined the musical staves on folios 42r - 43v. Bookhand 2 adds the text and music from the Kyrie to these existing staves. The dark brown ink and the irregular letter forms show a marked difference from Bookhand 1. The scribe seems unpractised in comparison to the professional and very regular letterforms of both bookhands 1 and 3. The single-compartment ‘a’ (Figure 8) and a somewhat triangular form with a pointed top indicates it as a Hybrida script. The script was developed in the mid-sixteenth century as a result of a growing middle class need for a faster script than textualis. The addition of a fifth staff line in the musical notation, as well as the use of

---


the later Tirontian ‘et’ (Figure 9), demonstrates that this script was added to the original manuscript leaves some time after the original script was copied.

(Figure 8: single-compartment ‘a’, f.42v)  (Figure 9: tironian ‘et’ with flourish, f.43r)

Bookhand 3 (f. 62r - 64v)

The third bookhand is found in part B of the codex which the codicological evidence suggests is a separate manuscript bound together with Part A at a later date (see binding). It is a form of southern textualis with distinct differences to Bookhand 1. It is much more angular than the Rotunda, and each letter is created using multiple pen strokes to create gothic minimums. It is likely a less formal form of Gothic Quadrata, as minims are present but often inconsistent.⁸

Bookhand 4 (f.65r - 65v)

Bookhand 4 is another Rotunda script with features that make it distinct from the Rotunda script from Bookhand 1. The letterforms are thicker, less angular, and shorter than the Rotunda of Bookhand 1. Although Bookhand 1 features multiple different forms of the letter ‘a’ but each follows a particular style (Figure 10.2-3)

Bookhand 4 features an ‘a’ that is unlike that of the typical Spanish Rotunda form (Figure 10.4). This difference is more clear when compared to Spanish Rotunda scripts from around the same date (Plates 1 - 4).

(Figure 10: a comparison of the ‘a’ letterforms from Bookhand 1 (.1-.3) and Bookhand 4 (.4) From left to right: sourced from Carleton Antiphonary folios 2v, 2v, 33r, and 65r. Sourced from “Benedicamus Domino y visperas de santos”9 f.1r)

In fact, it is likely that this final leaf was copied at a different time, likely later than Bookhand 1 or Bookhand 3 (an angular southern textualis) which precedes it in the manuscript. If this script is connected to Bookhand 1, this could be the result of a different scribe and rubricator, but the inclusion of five-line staves, a departure in musical style (inclusion of melismatic notes) and the fact that early modern collectors often replaced the final leaf of manuscripts with less damaged leaves to make the manuscript appear more complete, reinforce the idea that this leaf came from a separate manuscript.10

1.4 Corrections and Annotations

Contemporary Annotations (f.3v, 6v, 12v, 21v 28r, 30v, 44r, 45r)

9 Biblioteca Nacional de España, Biblioteca Digital Hispanica, MPCANT/1. Fol 1r. (Plate 1)
A few small marginal notes can be found in the early half of part A of the compound manuscript. These are likely guidewords as they appear alongside the heading of each new mode of the tonary (between 3v - 21v) and are not written in the same black ink as the bookhands, but a lighter brown ink. The small size of the text suggests a very fine writing tool was used to add the text to the parchment (figure 11). In some places, the marginal notes may have been cut off during binding or perhaps were never added.

(Figure 11: marginal annotation, guidewords on f.6v)

Another contemporary annotation was the addition of a three-line stave and what appears to be an alternate musical notation for the Kyrie. This can be found in the bottom margin of f.30v and is meant to blend in somewhat with the rest of the page (Figure 12).

(Figure 12: marginal annotation, additional musical notation on f.30v)
Similar marginal guidewords (as those found in the tonary) appear again on f.44r and 45r. Again, these correspond with headings. These annotations are paired with the rubricated headings “Sabbato in adventum domini. Hymnus” (figure 13), “ad nona” (both found on f.44r) and “in epiphania domini” (f.45r).

(figure 13: guideword and paired rubrication from folio 44r)

Early Modern Annotations (f.37r, 39r)

Written in the same pen as the Bookhand 2, the early modern (hybrida) script found between f. 42r - 43r, these annotations were interested in expanding upon the music as well as the text. The kyrie eleison was expanded on f.37r (figure 14) and a single line of music and corresponding chant was added to 39r. The annotation of biblio, although appearing to be an attempt to expand or correct the text could not be found in other instances of the Office of Dead (figure 15).
Modern Annotations (27r, 27v, 28r, 34v)

The modern annotations, likely from the twentieth century found on f.27r - 28r are written with a lead pencil in a modern cursive script. These annotations are meant to expand abbreviations or make corrections but are often incorrect. For example, “animum tuas” is written as “animus tuas” (f.27v).

Interestingly, on f.34v although also a modern script, attempts to imitate the rotunda script. The annotator has written the correction on a blank piece of manuscript with a dull brown ink and glued the annotation on top of the original script.

1.5 Fragments

The Antiphonary, in addition to being the product of multiple manuscripts for the main book, also has many repairs that were made using manuscript fragments. It is
common to see reinforcements and repairs of the holes and damages in this manuscript done with manuscript waste, which are pieces of parchment from liturgical texts deemed outdated.\(^\text{11}\)

Table 2: Fragments and Manuscript waste in the Carleton Antiphonary

*Please note that all fragments have been reoriented to be more legible.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Image</th>
<th>Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| ![Fragment 1: detail, f.4r](image1.png) | **Script:** Rotunda. Uses black, red, and blue ink.  
**Method:** Sewn.  
**Text:** This fragment is from another liturgical book copied in Rotunda script. According to an 1851 book containing the complete Office Hours, the text is from the third nocturne of Holy Thursday, to be recited after psalm 108 and prior to the 7th reading (Corinthians 11:17 - 11:22).\(^\text{12}\)  
**Origin:** The use of blue, a more expensive pigment than red, suggests a slightly wealthier place of origin than the monastery that copied Ms.1.\(^\text{13}\) |
| ![Fragment 2: f.4v](image2.png) | **Script:** Rotunda. Uses blue ink.  
**Method:** Sewn.  
**Text:** This fragment is from another liturgical manuscript. Likely from the feast of Christmas Eve: “Ex Sion species decoris ejus. Judaea et Jerusalem nolite”.\(^\text{14}\)  
**Origin:** The use of blue, a more expensive pigment than red, suggests a slightly wealthier place of origin than the monastery that copied |

---


\(^{14}\) Cantus ID: 003511
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Ms.1.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Ms Waste 1: detail, f.4v** | Blank manuscript waste.  
**Method:** Glued. |
| **Fragment 3: f.4v** | **Script:** 16th Century Aldine Type Font.  
**Method:** Glued.  
**Text:** This fragment is from a liturgical incunabulum. It is the reading for Thursday, after the second Sunday of Lent (Feria V. post Dominicam ii. Quadragesimae).  
**Origin:** The resemblance in typeface and content connects it to Fragment 5, they may be from the same source. |
| **Ms Waste 2: detail, f.8r** | Blank manuscript waste.  
**Method:** Sewn. |
| **Fragment 4: f.9v** |  
**Script:** Rotunda.  
**Method:** Sewn.  
**Text:** There is too little evidence to say what text this is, but it is clearly from a chant manuscript very similar in style to the Carleton Antiphonary.  
**Origin:** Possibly copied by the same scribes as the Carleton Antiphonary considering the similarity in letterforms and rubrication. |

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fragment</th>
<th>Script</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Text</th>
<th>Origin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ms Waste 3: f.10r</td>
<td>Blank manuscript waste.</td>
<td>Sewn.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fragment 5: f.12r</td>
<td>16th Century Aldine Type Font.</td>
<td>Sewn and glued.</td>
<td>This fragment is from a liturgical incunabulum. It is a reading for the 5th Sunday after Epiphany according to the 1885 <em>Eucologe romain</em>. “...omnibus Sanctis à cunctis nos reddat et peruersitatibus expiatos et adversitatibus expeditos.”16</td>
<td>The resemblance in typeface and content connects it to Fragment 3, they may be from the same source.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fragment 6: f.15r</td>
<td>Rotunda.</td>
<td>Sewn.</td>
<td>A snippet of Exodus 15:11 “quis similis tui in fortibus Domine quis similis tui magnificus in sanctitate terribilis atque laudabilis et faciens mirabilia”. A common reading during Holy Tuesday, at laudes.17</td>
<td>The hairlines on the ‘a’s’ suggests a Spanish or Italian origin. The use of the tironian et (⁊) suggests it was copied by a different scribe than that of the Carleton Antiphonary, as the symbol is not used in the primary bookhand of the manuscript.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Image</th>
<th>Script</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Text</th>
<th>Origin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Fragment 7: detail, f.17v)</td>
<td>Rotunda.</td>
<td>Sewn.</td>
<td>There is not enough textual information to know the larger context.</td>
<td>The rounded hairline ‘a’ and Rotunda form suggest a Spanish origin. It is written in a more informal hand, as seen in the extremely rounded ‘d’ and elongated Rotunda ‘m’.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Ms Waste 4: f.18r)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sewn.</td>
<td>Blank manuscript waste.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Fragment 8: f.18v)</td>
<td>16th Century Aldine Type Font.</td>
<td>Glued.</td>
<td>This fragment is from a liturgical incunabulum. It is a reading for Septuagesima Sunday. Matthew 20:14 - 20:16 “sicut et tibi aut non licet mihi quod volo facere an oculus tuus nequam est quia ego bonus sum sic erunt novissimi primi et primi novissimi multi sunt enim vocati pauci vero electi” Followed by the Credo and Bonum est confiteri.</td>
<td>The resemblance in typeface and content connects it to Fragment 3 and 5, they may be from the same source.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

20 Cantus ID: g00638.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Image</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **(Ms Waste 5: f.21r)** | Blank manuscript waste.  
**Method**: Sewn and glued. |
| **(Fragment 9: f.21v)** | **Script**: Rotunda.  
**Method**: Sewn and glued.  
**Text**: This is a hymn of the Blessed Mary for Sunday at Matins: “Fecunda Sancto Spiritu Defideratus gentibus Cujus per alvum fusus est Gloria Tibi Domine.”

**Origin**: The rounded, almost spiraling hairline ‘a’s suggest Spanish origin. Possibly copied by the same scribes as the Carleton Antiphonary considering the similarity in letterforms and rubrication. |
| **(Ms Waste 6: Detail, f.23r)** | Blank manuscript waste.  
**Method**: Glued and sewn. |
| **(Ms Waste 7: f.23r)** | Blank manuscript waste.  
**Method**: sewn. |

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fragment</th>
<th>Script</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Text</th>
<th>Origin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10: Detail, f.23v</td>
<td>16th Century Aldine Type Font.</td>
<td>Glued.</td>
<td>This fragment is from a liturgical incunabulum. The heading “Dominica in Septuagesima” is the ninth Sunday before Easter. The rubrication on the left provides instructions for performance before and after the Festo Purificationis (February 2) On the right, in black: “Moyse baptizati sunt in nube et in mari et omnes eamdem escam spiritalem manducaverunt et omnes eundem potum spiritalem biberunt bibeant autem de spirituali Consequentiae eos petra petra autem erat Christus”&lt;sup&gt;22&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>The resemblance in typeface and content connects it to Fragment 3, 5 and 8. They may be from the same source. It is further connected to fragment 8 both are from Septuagesima Sunday.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11: f.24r</td>
<td>Rotunda.</td>
<td>Sewn.</td>
<td>There is too little evidence to determine the text.</td>
<td>This script has more Italian features than Iberian, such as the po fusion which have overlapping strokes.&lt;sup&gt;23&lt;/sup&gt; This fusion is not present in Bookhand 1 but is Bookhand 3 (an angular southern textualis).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12: detail, f.24v</td>
<td>Rotunda.</td>
<td>Sewn.</td>
<td>From psalm 118:90-93: “In generationem et generationem veritas tua fundasti terram et permanet Ordinatione tua perseverat dies quoniam omnia servivunt tibi Nisi quod lex tua meditatio mea est tunc forte perissem in humilitate mea In aeternum non obliviscar iustificationes tuas quia in ipsis vivificasti me”. This is prominently used in the “Seven psalms of</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Document Type</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Penitence</td>
<td>Origin: The hairline ‘a’ suggests Iberian origins. This script appears to be more formal than Bookhand 1. We find a very close match in script with Fragment 6. Script: Southern Textualis. Method: Sewn. Text: There is too little evidence to determine the text. Origin: There is too little evidence to determine the origin for certain, but the angular elongated ‘s’ and ‘o’ forms suggest southern textualis, perhaps Italian or French.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blank manuscript waste</td>
<td>Method: Sewn.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 1.6 Initials and Rubrication

This codex, like most medieval manuscripts, uses a system of capital letters and initials, rubricated or not, to signify different textual elements. Two types of initial letters (sometimes called drop capitals because they often take up the space of two lines instead of one) exist in this manuscript, the rubricated initial and the plain initial (copied in the same ink as the rest of the manuscript text). Capital letters exist in two forms as well, partially rubricated and a plain capital. In many liturgical manuscripts such as antiphonals rubricated abbreviations, such as *ps* for psalm, also signifies a new textual element. See table 4 for details.

---

Tonary

In the tonary, each of the eight modes, indicated by a rubricated heading, start with a rubricated initial. These rubricated initials or drop capitals are flush with the baseline of the text but take up the space of an entire stave or sometimes go a bit beyond it. The next is a plain initial, which is always preceded by a rubricated abbreviation such as $\mathcal{V}$ (verse). The third and final level is the plain capital which marks the beginning of a new sentence within a verse.

Antiphonary

The antiphonary follows a similar structure to the tonary with a few key additions. Each major heading starts with a rubricated initial (drop capital) that is flush with the baseline of the text but takes up the space of an entire stave or sometimes goes a bit beyond it. Antiphons, signified by a rubricated $\alpha$ with an extended crossline, are directly followed by a plain initial. Psalms (rubricated $ps$ or sometimes $p\bar{s}$) however, are only followed by a plain capital, placing them within the hierarchy of the antiphon.

Hymnal

Each major heading, indicated by a rubricated heading of the hymnal, starts with a rubricated initial. A plain initial is used to begin the final refrain of the hymn. Interestingly, the hymnal does not use white space, but instead employs rubricated symbols between syllable units to indicate melisma as evident by the quick succession of notes that typically appear above it (figure 16).
Final Leaf (Antiphonal)

Antiphons, signified by a rubricated \textit{aǐ}, are directly followed by a plain initial.

Psalms (rubricated \textit{pǐ}) are also followed by a plain initial.

Table 3: Initials from the Carleton Antiphonary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rubricated Initial</th>
<th>Quartus tonus \textit{Unite}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>quartus tonus</td>
<td>\textit{Unite}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f.9v</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plain Initial</th>
<th>Versus Quo&lt;niam&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>versus quoniam</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f.10v</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Unicode</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antiphon</td>
<td>ᵃ / Aⁿ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verse</td>
<td>ὑ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psalm</td>
<td>ṃs / ρ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Responsorium</td>
<td>ρ</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 1.7 Writing Support

The parchment has many physical attributes from the animal itself including naturally occurring holes (figure 17) which indicates that this codex’s usage was likely practical rather than for display or for a wealthy patron. Furthermore, the manuscript
demonstrates considerable wear as a result of extended use such as stains, ink and liquid damage, as well as minor burns. For example, the black-brown ink used on the manuscript has caused small holes to appear in the parchment. This is likely because tannic acid was used to create the ink, a compound found in many medieval inks.25

(figure 17: A naturally occurring (from the animal skin, usually sheep) hole in the parchment. This is likely from the eye of the animal.)

1.8 Damages, Repairs and Additions

The manuscript demonstrates long use and a long history of repairs — indicating the continued use of the manuscript. Roughly eighteen leaves show signs of minor water damage. But glue damage is more common, seen on approximately twenty-four leaves of the manuscript.

Glue damages may have occurred during the many repairs done to the manuscript, especially when fragments or manuscript waste were applied to the

parchment. We know that the manuscript was likely in the hands of an early modern collector because of the composite nature of the manuscript. The process of unbinding and rebinding the quires to create the Carleton Antiphonary may have exposed the manuscript to glue. This is buttressed by the fact that dried pieces of glue were left on one leaf but they did not stain or stick to the leaf facing it (such as f.8v - 9r). Furthermore, glue damage is found on leaves that do not have fragments or manuscript waste (such as 7v, seen in fig. 23.2) This evidence indicates that these damages occurred when the manuscript was unbound.

Folio 13r has suffered moisture damage that has resulted in the ink bleeding, strangely it does not affect the entire page equally (figure 18).

(Figure 18: f.13r showing water damage causing the ink to bleed.)

The first three leaves feature an acidic burn, possibly from a copper or iron nail that made up the original cover of the manuscript. This would have caused the acidic breakdown of the parchment over time (Figure 19.1).
The leaves demonstrate extensive wear on the corners of the pages. In some cases, such as folio 21r, wear has been so extreme that the parchment had to be reinforced (Figure 19.3).

Considerable repairs are present including stitching, reinforced parchment, and rewritten text where repairs or mistakes demanded it. Twenty-two of the leaves have been reinforced with fragments (or manuscript waste) from various sources including printed materials on paper. These are typically both glued and stitched onto the original parchment. Stitching was completed by various handlers over time, evident in the range of skill and materials used to do so. Notably, reinforcements are concentrated in the first third of the manuscript, with tears left unstitched in the latter two thirds.

1.9 Provenance

The primary manuscript (part A) of the codex was copied in Spain in the early to mid-sixteenth century. The inclusion of prayers dedicated to the veneration of the Virgin Mary (f. 59v-60r; 61v; 65r-65v) point to Catholic monastic tradition but Franciscan origin is not impossible.

The secondary manuscript (part B) of the codex was likely copied earlier, possibly in the fifteenth century. It was copied in southern Europe, likely from Southern France.
or perhaps Italy. The earliest secure evidence of provenance comes from a type-written donation plate glued to the interior of the front cover of the manuscript, reading:

14th CENTURY MANUSCRIPT

Donated by Fr. McDougall, O.M.I.

1960

The bookplate indicates the manuscript was a gift of Father Hugh Andrew McDougall, (b. 1922, d.2012) in 1960, a member of the Oblates of Mary Immaculate (est. 1826) who was soon thereafter to become the Dean of Saint Patrick’s College (from 1961-67 and again in 1973-76). This Order had a strong presence in England, Ireland and Canada, especially among the Irish Canadians in the Ottawa region. The Oblates has supported this college as a missionary venture for the order in Canada.26 MacDougall perhaps obtained the codex while completing his PhD (completed 1960) at Christ’s College, Cambridge University. This independent Catholic college run by the Oblates of Mary Immaculate in Ottawa became a part of Carleton University in 1967. Due to financial problems, St. Patrick’s College was dissolved in 1979, with its assets taken over by Carleton University, so it is likely it was at this time that the manuscript entered Carleton’s collection.

Although we lack evidence to indicate when or how the codex may have left Spain or southern Europe, there are possible explanations. We know from the donation plate that the codex must have been acquired by McDougall prior to 1960. Medieval objects were often subject to theft during the wartime period and may have been

displaced when British soldiers returned home from the Spanish Civil War.\textsuperscript{27} It is also possible that British soldiers may have brought it to England from southern Europe after World War II if the codex was bound on the continent rather than by book sellers in the UK.\textsuperscript{28} The binding can be used to reveal when the manuscript was rebound into a composite manuscript but a more in depth study of the binding method and materials used could provide clarity.

It is now housed by Carleton University’s Archives and Special Collections (ARC) when the codex was rediscovered during library renovations in the 2010s. It has not yet been digitally catalogued by the university. Courses such as \textit{The History of the Medieval Book} (taught by Professor Marc Saurette) that interact with and study the codex are available to undergraduate students as of 2016.

1.10 Conclusions

The codicological analysis of the manuscript reveals that it is a compound manuscript featuring at least two quires of distinct origins, and at least four bookhands. The first and largest part of the codex is of Spanish origin and dates from the early to mid-sixteenth century.

It was created for practical use for the liturgy, suggested from the quality of the parchment used and the extended usage and repairs that can be observed. Possibly, it was an instructional manuscript intended to teach monks how to sing the eight modes of Gregorian chant, evident in the appended tonary (\textit{see Contents}). It was further used as a teaching tool in that it was stitched and added to by amateurs, as apparent in the inconsistent quality of both later repairs and script.

\textsuperscript{28} Ibid.
The manuscript received special care by its original, likely monastic, owners. It is free of insect, rodent, or mold damage which suggests the initial owners took care to house the manuscript appropriately. The extended usage and subsequent repairs signify a position of practical, yet still great importance to the owners.

This means the codex changed hands a few times after leaving its place of origin and prior to its arrival at Carleton. The early modern and scholastic (rather than monastic) script found between f. 42r - 43r suggests an owner in between the monastery and the bookseller. This interim owner was Spanish, as they spelled a V for a B in medieval Latin, sounds that become indistinguishable in Spanish.29

There is evidence that the codex has been rebound at least once, as it is not in its original binding. Missing pages, especially the ‘clean’ cuts are evidence of book breaking, likely for the purpose of resale as individual pieces of medieval art which fetch a higher price than a book sold as a whole.30 This is most often done with illuminated pages, but folia of liturgical importance would also be cut and framed for religious and collection purposes.31 The final quire was likely appended to the end of the codex, possibly by a bookseller before it was acquired by MacDougall and subsequently gifted to the university.

Chapter 2: Contents of the Manuscript

To best understand our manuscript, and indeed to make it more accessible for study, a catalogue of its contents is necessary. Liturgical manuscripts are notoriously difficult to categorize, so I have attempted to establish the contents of this medieval book to the best of my ability. There is much to learn about the manuscript, but my intention, as I have stated, has not been to produce a critical analysis of the contents, but instead to provide a resource that will bring to light its contents to place this artefact within the broader context of its origins and history. My hope for the future is that this effort can be put towards a larger study of liturgical chant manuscripts, to better understand their structure, contents, and the lives and devotion of those who produced them.

2.1 Genre

The Carleton Antiphonary is made up of two distinct manuscripts (and possibly a distinct final leaf) but they can all be categorized generally as a liturgical chant. The first and largest of the two manuscripts (called part A) begins with a tonary followed by an antiphonal (a collection of antiphons, chants sung during canonical hours) for the Office of the Dead. This section is a Temporale, which features the moveable feasts, for one half of the liturgical calendar and includes major events such as Lent, Holy Week, and Easter. It is common in French and Iberian manuscripts for the hymnal, Office of the Dead, and Office of the Virgin to appear, as they do in this manuscript. However, the Office of the Virgin appended to the end of the codex may not be copied

---

by the scribes who first created it; this will be expanded upon in the section “Final Leaf”.

After the Office of Dead the manuscript features annotations in a later *cursiva* script written on blank lines from the original ruling from f.42 r - 43r. This is an early modern annotation of the Credo (a formal statement of Christian belief) with accompanying Gregorian chant. Thereafter begins a hymnal, with hymns for a selection of feast days following the Temporal section of the liturgical calendar.

The second and much smaller manuscript (part B), which has been bound to the end of part A, are pages from the Service of Tenebrae (the last three days of Holy Week leading up to Easter Day) but it is incomplete.

But the codex’s eclectic nature does not stop there. A distinct final leaf (featuring an antiphon for the Virgin Mary), and many repairs including pasted-down fragments from manuscript waste. These are all evidence of the continuous life of this codex over the last 500 years. This section will explore the liturgical contents of the Carleton Antiphonary with the goal to better understand its purpose as a devotional object, teaching tool, and medieval artefact throughout its ongoing history.
2.2 Structure of the Codex

Table 5: Structure of Ms.1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distinct Manuscripts</th>
<th>Major Segments</th>
<th>Additions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Manuscript Part A (f.1r - 61v)</td>
<td>Bonary (f.1r - 27r)</td>
<td>Antiphonal, Office of the Dead (f.27r - 42r)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Blank Ruled Pages (f.42r - 43v)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The Credo (f.42r - 43r)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manuscript Part B (f.62r - 64v)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Service of Tenebrae (f.62r - 64v)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Leaf (f.65r - 65v)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Antiphon for the Virgin Mary (f. 65r - 65v)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Manuscript Part A

Tonary (f.1r - 27r)

The manuscript includes a tonary appended to the beginning, preceding the antiphonal. Tonaries are a type of liturgical book that lists the eight psalm modes of Gregorian chant. These modes would be used as examples to instruct monks on how to sing the various modes by memory rather than to use it continuously during chants. This tonary, as a memorization tool, provides evidence that this manuscript was used as a teaching tool.

---

Antiphonal, Office of the Dead (f.27r - 42r)

Directly following the tonary, the manuscript opens with an Invitatory of the Office of the Dead (Defunctorum) set at Vespers (evening prayer and the beginning of the Office Hours). The Office of the Dead is recited on the feast of All Souls (November 2) and upon the death of certain individuals. According to Andrew Hughes’s useful study, Medieval Manuscripts for Mass and Office: a guide to their organization and terminology, the manuscript follows the convention of antiphonals including the basic structure of Antiphon and psalm, repeated 5 times, followed by the antiphon Ad Magnificat.34 The Office of the Dead has at least one folio removed between f.28v-29r. Interestingly, starting on f.42r, the parchment was left blank with only red stave lines present until the text resumed again on f.44r. These have since been filled with the Credo in an early modern hand.

The Credo (Early Modern Annotation) (f.42 r - 43r)

The blank musical staves from f.42r - 43r must have seemed the perfect place to copy the Credo onto the page to one early modern Christian. This copyist could have been a middle class Spanish person who was secular rather than monastic, judging by the early modern Cursiva script they used to pen the Credo, a script used by the growing middle class. It is not written in its entirety here, indeed the copyist has left clues of their time and context, eliminated a single line from the traditional Credo:

Et incarnatus est de Spiritu Sancto ex Maria Virgine, et homo factus est.

He was incarnate by the Holy Ghost out of the Virgin Mary, and was made man.35

---

Although there would have to be a thorough study made to confirm the origins of this hand, one possibility is that the scribe was Spanish, and perhaps even protestant. In Catholic doctrine, the Virgin Mary is venerated and the Immaculate Conception (the notion that Mary was conceived without sin) and perpetual virginity is accepted as part of their beliefs. However, many denominations of Christianity do not venerate Mary in the same way. Most notably is the protestant movement, which gradually removed Mary from her place of key importance.\textsuperscript{36} Palaeographical evidence suggests the copyist is Spanish, owing to the fact that they replace a V for a B, sounds that become indistinguishable in Spanish.\textsuperscript{37} However, Protestantism was not adopted in Spain because of the Inquisition. Therefore, if the scribe is indeed Protestant, the text would have had to have been copied quite a bit later than the original text. It was perhaps copied sometime after the mid-seventeenth century, when Spain experienced its first (lasting) Protestant movement. However, it is more likely even later, as prosecution on a state level did not cease until after 1868, following the Glorious Revolution which brought greater religious tolerance.\textsuperscript{38} Of course, there is the distinct possibility that this copyist was a protestant of Spanish birth who fled Spain to escape inquisition. This could also explain how the manuscript initially left Spain. However, without further evidence, the religious beliefs of the scribe remain uncertain.

Hymnal (f. 44r - 61v)

One folio is left without text and only the original ruling and black staves (f.43v). Afterwards, a hymnal begins. It is not uncommon for a hymnal to be bound

\textsuperscript{36} Christianson, Gerald, Bellitto, Christopher M., and Izbicki, Thomas M., eds. \textit{The Church, the Councils, and Reform: The Legacy of the Fifteenth Century}. Baltimore: Catholic University of America Press, 2008. p.213


along with an antiphonal, according to Hughes, but are often kept in separate sections of the same book.39

Below is a list of the offices with entries in the manuscript, in order of appearance:

*In many cases additional lines of chant are added to be sung at specific canonical hours or are subdivisions of a larger book. These are accounted for in the additional chants’ column.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 6: List of Feast Days in the hymnal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Feast Days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saturday of Advent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christmas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epiphany</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saturdays of Lent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sundays</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passion Sunday</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Sunday after Easter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eve of Ascension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eve of Holy Pentecost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feast of the Trinity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hymn of the Body of Christ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On the Conversion of Saint Paul</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On Saint Peter's Cathedra, at Vespers and Nones</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Time(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hymn of Saint Antony, at Vespers</td>
<td>hymnus sancti antonii ad uesperas</td>
<td>Nones, Lauds, Vespers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saint John the Baptist</td>
<td>sancti ioanne baptiste</td>
<td>Nones, Lauds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saints Peter and Paul</td>
<td>sanctorum petri et pauli</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saint Mary Magdalene</td>
<td>sancte mariae magdalene</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saint Peter in Chains</td>
<td>uincula sancti petri</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfiguration of Jesus, at Vespers</td>
<td>transfiguratio domini ad uesperas</td>
<td>Nones, Lauds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saint Clare, at Vespers</td>
<td>sancte clare ad uesperas</td>
<td>Nones, Lauds, Vespers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assumption of the Blessed Mary</td>
<td>assumptione beate marie</td>
<td>Nones, Lauds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saint Louis the Confessor</td>
<td>sancti ludouici confessoris</td>
<td>Nones, Lauds, Vespers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hymn of Saint Michael</td>
<td>Hymnus sancti michaelis</td>
<td>Lauds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saint Francis</td>
<td>sancti francisci</td>
<td>Nones, Lauds, Vespers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feast of All Saints</td>
<td>festo omnium sanctorum</td>
<td>Lauds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common of the Apostles</td>
<td>natali apostolorum</td>
<td>Nones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common of one Martyr</td>
<td>de uno martire</td>
<td>Lauds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common of several Martyrs</td>
<td>de plurimorum martirum</td>
<td>Nones, Lauds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hymn for the Birth of a Pope</td>
<td>Hymnus natum confessoris pontifici</td>
<td>Lauds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common of one Confessor (not Pope), at Lauds</td>
<td>non pontifici ad laudes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common for the Virgin</td>
<td>de uirginum</td>
<td>Nones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dedication of a Church</td>
<td>dedicatione &lt;ecclesie&gt;</td>
<td>Lauds, Prime, Terce, Sext, Nones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visitation of Mary</td>
<td>uisitatione beate marie</td>
<td>Nones</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The selection of hymns and degrees of importance placed on them (through a variety of unique chants for canonical hours) are revealing. Importantly, key figures of the Franciscan orders are most prominent, including St. Francis himself, but also St. Clare (of the Poor Clares, second Order of St. Francis) and Saint Louis the Confessor, who is honoured as one of the most powerful and influential patrons of the Third Order of St. Francis. Therefore this manuscript is a liturgical chant manuscript likely copied at a Franciscan monastery. The veneration of Mary as a saint is also strong evidence of this, as Marian devotion is central to the Order as well as in Catholicism. There is also a lot of space allocated to the Dedication of a Church with unique chants from lauds through none, which may have been copied for practical purposes, such as a new church being planned or constructed. The manuscript part A ends where a page has been cut between it and the beginning of part B (f.61v - 62r). This page would have belonged to part A as the transcription cuts off the text for the Visitation of Mary at none.

Manuscript part B

Selections from the Service of Tenebrae (f.62r - 64v)

This manuscript features selections from the service of Tenebrae, which are held on the three days preceding Easter day. Manuscript part B seems to begin in an odd place, approximately halfway through first nocturnes of the Holy Thursday Service. We find the incipit for the Lamentations of Jeremiah (Lamentatio Jeremie prophete) on

---

40 Little, Lester K. "Saint Louis’ Involvement with the Friars." Church History 33, no. 2 (1964), p. 127. This patronage led to convents and friars widely flourishing throughout France during his reign (d. 1270).

f.62r. This incipit begins the first reading of Holy Thursday’s first nocturne service which would typically go through Lamentations 1:1 - 1:5. However, this manuscript only provides 1:1 and 1:2 or Aleph (Hebrew, Alef א) and Beth (Hebrew, Bet ب). This could be due to missing folios, as these would typically be bound together. Further evidence of this is found on f.63r as Lamentations 5:1 begins here. Lamentations 5:1 - 5:11 are the 3rd readings of the first nocturne on Holy Saturday of Tenebrae. Our manuscript lists these from f.63r - 64v. There is then evidence of another cut folio, manuscript part B ends there.\(^\text{42}\) It is difficult to know why this was done, but it is known that early modern collectors disliked texts that appeared incomplete and would erase or remove pages in an effort to “neaten” the manuscripts.\(^\text{43}\) As the incipit for the Lamentations starts the page with a large rubricated drop capital, the collector may have judged this as visually “complete” but disregarded the contents of the manuscript that came before it and the intended position of the Lamentations in the service of Tenebrae. This may explain how these two unconnected manuscripts came to be one whole.

Final Leaf

Antiphon for the Immaculate Conception of Virgin Mary (f.65r - 65v)

This final page seems to have been removed from another manuscript and bound to the rest of this codex, perhaps as an attempt to reconstruct the structure of the Temporale, which features the Office of the Dead, hymnal, and the Office of the Virgin. But it is likely this leaf is distinct from both part A and B of the manuscript from codicological evidence (see \textit{palaeography: bookhand 4}). The beginning and ending of the leaf are both cut off and have no match within the rest of the manuscript. Again,

\(^{42}\) Benedictines of Solesmes (ed). \textit{The Liber Usualis: with introduction and rubrics in English}, 1961. p. 626 - 762

this may be an example of early modern alteration (or the strategic removal of leaves) to make the text appear more complete. There is also the possibility that this leaf may be an early modern imitation of the original bookhand, but there is currently not enough evidence to support this theory.⁴⁴

2.3 Corrections and Annotations

The Carleton Antiphonary has a rich variety of corrections and annotations, some contemporary and others more modern. The contemporary annotations are chiefly corrections and guidewords, but make up the majority of the annotations found in the book. Another kind of contemporary annotation can be found in the manuscript, however. On f.30v (during the Office of the Dead) a three-line stave and alternate musical notation for the Kyrie was added to the bottom margin of the folio. This music is more malismatic than the simplified version on the page above it which could indicate it was for use during special occasions (a notable death, for example) or by more practiced students.

Interestingly, on f.37r there is an early modern annotation of a four-lined stave and musical notation also concerned with the Kyrie, in the bottom margin of the folio. This annotation is an extension of the chant rather than a variation. We see this practice of extending the notation in the top exterior margin of the same folio, where they seem to be clarifying the close-together and difficult to read notation found on the line below (figure 18).

The contemporary hand has added another musical notation to the exterior margin of f.28r, but this time there has been no attempt to make it blend in with the rest of the book. It is written on its side, features a single line stave and writes out the verse “Audivi vocem de caelo dicentem mihi”.\textsuperscript{45} This was meant to be added before the Antiphon Ad Magnificat but the rubricated \textit{V} for Versus was never inked. Therefore, it had to have been added later in the margins (Figure 19).

\textsuperscript{45} Cantus ID: 007957
Beginning on folio 27r, a modern hand has written in expansions above abbreviated words in pencil (Figure 20). At times incorrect, such as the correction “animas tuas” given for “animam tua3” on f.27v. It appears the annotator intended to correct the Office of Dead, as the amendments begin immediately after the Incipit for the Office. However, only three pages were ultimately marked, ending on f.28r.

(Figure 22: f.27v with pencil annotations reading “animas tuas”)

For a more complete study of the compound manuscript’s fragments, including their contents, please see Chapter 1.5 of this work.

2.4 Conclusions

This study of the manuscript’s contents provides information about the book as an artefact, detailing its origins and history over the past 500 years. We understand that the first manuscript (part A) that makes up the whole of this compound manuscript was created as a teaching tool as well as a devotional book as it opens with a tonary. Tonaries would have been added to instruct monks on the eight modes of Gregorian chant. Furthermore, the Office of the Dead and hymnal provide us with insight into its creators. We know that it was created by a Franciscan order as prominent hymns are dedicated to notable figures of the order such as St. Francis, St. Clare, and Saint Louis the Confessor.
Sometime after the manuscript left monastic hands, a Spanish owner possessed it which is evident through the quick humanist script they wrote with, and the replacement of Vs for Bs (sounds that become indistinguishable in Spanish) in the *Credo* which they copied into the blank staves of the manuscript.

The second manuscript (part B) that makes up the compound manuscript is the result of early modern collections appending pages to the manuscripts to make them appear more complete. Therefore the first page features the Incipit for the *Lamentations of Jeremiah* despite these readings appearing well into the service of Tenebrae. The final leaf, distinct from the rest of the manuscript, again reveals the workings of early modern collectors who attempted to make the book appear more complete or ‘neat’ as the final page may have been considerably damaged.

The presence of annotations throughout the manuscript, both contemporary and modern, demonstrate the care and continuous use of this manuscript throughout its life. The contemporary annotations illustrate the special and ongoing care received by the book by its monastic creators. The early modern additions, particularly the *Credo* on f.42r - 43r, indicate the manuscript left monastic hands and was held by a wealthy, likely secular (based on the *cursiva* script), individual. It was then acquired by early modern collectors who altered the manuscript. Modern annotations reveal the book was used anew as a studying and devotional tool, as the annotator attempted to expand the Latin abbreviations of the original bookhand.

This examination of the manuscript’s contents gives evidence of the continuous life of this medieval book for over 500 years since its creation. Its purpose as an artefact changed as its owners changed, and was at once a devotional object, teaching tool, and medieval artefact throughout its rich history. I hope this effort will contribute to its
further study and care as access to its history and contents, including its structure and distinct parts become more available through this digital project.
Chapter 3: The Digital Edition

3.1 How to read this edition

This transcription of the manuscript’s text is a visual reproduction, and a diplomatic edition. A diplomatic edition is an edition that attempts to reproduce the original spelling, punctuation, scribal mistakes (including corrections and deletions) and abbreviations. In this digital edition, I have placed the images of each manuscript page on the left hand side. On the right side, I offer a transcription which does not regularize or modernize the Latin, but rather retains scribal mistakes, abbreviated characters, and punctuation as it exists in the manuscript. Given the unique nature of liturgical manuscripts, I did not seek to establish a comparative critical edition—i.e. the product of studying multiple manuscripts to construct a final version with the cumulative information from each codex. Rather, my edition, as it lives in the digital realm, seeks to let the user guide their interaction with the text. They can consult the original manuscript (in digitized images), they can consult a direct transcription of the text alongside the images, they can also read the alternate text with abbreviations unpacked and punctuation regularized. Given the potential for non-linear usage, I consider my edition a hypertext edition, because the linked presentation of information achieves through the digital format something unlike traditional print texts.46

Privileging transcription over finished edition

The benefit of a transcription over a finished edition is that researchers can easily follow the palaeographical and codicological aspects of this manuscript through the non-linear use I have described above. This decision was made because Carleton

Antiphonary, like most liturgical manuscripts, is unique in its physical composition not only by the nature of handmade work but also because so many liturgical manuscripts were distinct products of their time, location, and monastic tradition. The decision to make the transcription and edition digital was in large part a question of access, especially because Carleton University, as a Canadian institution, must rely heavily on digital content for the study of European manuscripts.

Digital Format

Because of the digital format, the text can be shown in numerous ways without compromising user experience, i.e. the reader does not need to constantly refer to the index and appendices at the back of a paper copy to make sense of the text. As you will see, in order to unpack an abbreviation or symbol as presented in the manuscript in the transcription, the user must simply hover over the word or symbol to have it unpacked (with the exception of common usage symbols, as listed in table 7, below).

Abbreviations

The table below outlines the abbreviations used throughout the Carleton Antiphonary and explains which Unicode symbols were used to mimic the manuscript’s forms. The expansion or modern equivalent are also given, with multiple possible expansions where applicable. The rightmost column displays whether the abbreviations have been unpacked in the hypertext edition. Note that symbols that replace single letterforms (e.g. ꝙ, ꝣ, and Ꝥ) are not unpacked in this transcription but abbreviations with two or more letters are unpacked to maintain clarity for the researcher while using this tool.
Table 7: Abbreviations for the hypertext edition of the Carleton Antiphonary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Expansion or modern equivalent</th>
<th>Unpacked?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>⒮</td>
<td>Latin Rotunda r</td>
<td>r</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ⓑ</td>
<td>Latin uncial d</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ꝟ</td>
<td>Latin capital V with stroke</td>
<td>Versus/ Versum</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ꝟ</td>
<td>Latin abbreviation for con</td>
<td>-con</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ꝡ</td>
<td>Rotunda m, oriented vertically</td>
<td>-m</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ꝣ</td>
<td>Latin abbreviation for pre</td>
<td>pre / per</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ꝣ</td>
<td>Latin abbreviation que</td>
<td>-que</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ꝥ</td>
<td>Rotunda pr</td>
<td>pr- / pro-</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ꝥ</td>
<td>Long s</td>
<td>s</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ꝧ</td>
<td>Latin abbreviation rum</td>
<td>-rum</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ꝧ</td>
<td>Latin inverted c</td>
<td>con-</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ꝩ</td>
<td>Latin que</td>
<td>que</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ꝩ</td>
<td>Chi rho</td>
<td>Chr-</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ꝫ</td>
<td>Tironian ‘et’</td>
<td>et</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Image files

The images found on the website were originally produced in TIFF, which were far too large (approximately 5 - 6 Mi each) even for modern web standards. From a web-friendly point of view, the images had to be cropped and resized to allow for quick load times on the website. Although the TIFF files would have provided extremely clear images for the scrutiny of minute details of the manuscript that in-depth manuscript study often demands, this decision came from a user experience (UX) stand-point to ensure compatibility with the widest range of browsers. That being said, the images are
still high-quality (362 KB, 685 x 923) and useful for study. Furthermore, they are available for download so scholars may quickly and frequently access them.

These images were taken with a digital camera, (Canon EOS REBEL T5i) with less than ideal lighting, but in future we hope to produce scans of the manuscript. Unfortunately, we did not have access to a large enough scanner at the time of this project. This presented unique challenges because the orientation, lighting, and dimensions of each image were distinct. In other words, each of the 148 original images would have to be manually manipulated. Therefore, the batch image processor IrfanView, a freeware (proprietary but free to use) software for Windows users, was used to resize the images to a standard size. This allowed the website to display the folios in a streamline and consistent fashion, giving the reader a book-like experience despite the vastly different sizes and orientation of the original images. As you will see, the result is not perfect, but provides a far more attractive product in the final version.

3.2 Web Edition vs Paper Version

When I was first introduced to the Carleton Antiphonary in 2016 Carleton University’s Archives and Research Collections had not yet digitally catalogued any of their medieval materials, nor do they exist in the library’s catalogue. For researchers, it was as if the manuscript did not exist. This project sought to address this problem by creating an online edition for the codex, circumventing the issues with access intrinsic to the printed edition. The main issue that was apparent to me was access to the edition. It could be written in printed or PDF format and lay hidden in a university’s catalogue, where researchers from both Carleton and elsewhere may never know of its existence, closing the initial circle that I sought to address. But a digital project, connected to a
popular public database such as the Cantus Database, would have more visibility and would contribute to the mission of bringing this manuscript to light.\(^{47}\)

**Broader Access**

Some of these fundamental issues are that the commentary and glossary are usually separate from the transcription, segregated to the end of the paperback, often rendering it cumbersome for reference. Furthermore, a printed work must be produced, published, and distributed before it is accessible to scholars. Electronic editions, however, change this process – making editions not a product but a process that can be published online while in progress to allow other scholars to make use of the work and possibly to contribute to it. In essence, once the work is online and accessible (usually through an institution) it is catalogued and therefore referenceable, unlike projects which are left to languish gathering dust within the shelves upon shelves of uncatalogued theses.

Scholars of medieval liturgy and codicology can know of the manuscript’s existence and perhaps reveal some of its long-held secrets through this diplomatic edition. Important to note is that the website was purpose built in collaborating with Ryan Lacroix (Developer) in order to showcase this manuscript in ways suited to its study as both an object and a document.

**Cantus Index**

Cantus is a manuscript database used to reference medieval and early modern Latin ecclesiastical sources. The resource was created by the University of Waterloo and is managed collaboratively by Debra Lacoste (University of Waterloo) and Jan

\(^{47}\) [http://cantus.uwaterloo.ca/](http://cantus.uwaterloo.ca/)
Koláček (Charles University, Prague). It has nine major databases connected to it from across the globe and over 150 sources. Each source is indexed according to its chants and connected with any concordant chants from other sources. Each chant has a unique Cantus ID number that is used to reference the list of chants in the Cantus Index, a catalogue for the Cantus Database. In the Manuscript Reading (Ms spelling) transcription I have identified each segment of the manuscript by the appropriate Cantus ID (see Appendices). This allows researchers to quickly analyse the various parts of the manuscript and find contextual data for that particular segment. Cantus provides details such as associated feast days, genre, position in office or mass, and a list of the concordances for each chant. Users may further research by perusing the hundreds of manuscript holdings digitally uploaded by institutions onto Cantus Database through the identifiers. Interconnectivity with scholarly platforms such as Cantus which have already made waves in the digital realm is fundamental to this project. It allows the website to be both accessible as a website and flexible as a tool to meet the growing demands of modern scholarship.

Open Source

This project embraces the idea of collaboration and transparency in the digital realm and builds upon the developments of digital academics in the field of manuscript studies. That is why all stages of this project were accomplished using largely open source and free to use software. Furthermore, all the code purpose written for this project is available to others to use and modify for their own future projects via Github.

48 Unless indicated as unidentified.
3.3 Stages of Production

In order to illustrate the process of creating a born-digital manuscript edition, I provided below a roadmap for my project. I discuss the methods and guidelines I consulted, and I reflect on the limitations and advantages of the various software and platforms I used. I also highlight here where the contributions were a collaborative effort with Lacroix. For more information on editorial decisions I made please see *how to read this edition*.

Using Transkribus

Before I could begin to pull my research into a cohesive place, I needed a workable transcription of the manuscript. In order to create a digital transcription of the manuscript, I needed a robust tool that could serve over 130 high quality images and would preferably be an open access (free to use) tool. Developers at the University of Innsbruck, Austria, had developed a tool, *Transkribus*, that met nearly all my specifications. This tool automatically recognizes historical written and printed text and builds an intuitive structure around textual elements to make transcription easier. Importantly, it hosts users’ images to the Transkribus server to create “private collections” that limit access to the original user and those they chose to share their collection with. Moreover, the tool allows scholars to write in any language using any character set (this project required the extended Latin Unicode alphabet). A limitation of Transkribus is the intensive core text necessary before the computer-driven handwriting analysis is viable. There was insufficient text in the manuscript to allow me to use this feature.
Working with XML files

The major caveat of using the Transkribus software was its output format. Transkribus outputs thousands of lines of XML code containing a proprietary string format that represents the coordinates of each identified element of text (including rubrication, position of lines of text, drop capitals, etc.). The string format does not follow XML standards and therefore required a custom parser that detected the location information of the various tags (indicating the important textual elements of the document) for it to be correctly displayed on the website. However, this transcription when converted to HTML gave many errors and would have required manually editing hundreds of lines of code to debug. So, Lacroix and I turned to the TEI output as a possible solution.

Working the TEI

Transkribus exports in several formats, including TEI (Text Encoding Initiative), PDF, and XML (Extensible Markup Language). TEI guidelines, the ideal for many digital humanists, define and shape the XML format to be compatible between digital humanities projects. The payload of this initiative is a vigorous tagging system that documents and describes structural and textual elements of virtually any type of document. Because the TEI has a large body of documentation on its robust tagging system, another custom parser was written by Lacroix to convert the code to HTML in the hope that I would not have to manually debug the code. This method indeed proved better than the first, and the parser successfully produced a workable HTML version of the transcription for use on the website.

Creating the Website

In the early stages of this project, I conceptualized the website as being made with a web builder (e.g., Wordpress, Wix) to save time and labour. However, several issues arose with these platforms that were irreconcilable with my vision for the site. Chiefly, it was key that the website have an interactive transcription portion. The exact functionality of it does not come out of the box in any website builders. Therefore, the website had to be custom built. I wanted to be able to display the transcription and facsimiles side by side in a way that the user can interact with the manuscript in a variety of ways. Website builders do not offer this functionality, nor are you able to embed code necessary for it into a website builder in both unpaid and paid options. The issue is that website builders do not give the user access to its backend, and the interactive transcription demands that large amounts of images be hosted on a server connected to the site. Furthermore, website builders come with a lot of extra functionality and “bulk” that can be cumbersome. In the end, having full control of the website was the best way to ensure the result I intended.

The website was created from scratch by Lacroix and myself using node.js and a vanilla JavaScript, HTML, CSS front end. Styling for the website itself was handled with Bootstrap4. This provided a baseline in which to build the custom styling for the website. To properly illustrate the structure and contents (rubrication, hierarchy of structure) of the manuscript transcription, corresponding HTML attributes were added to the transcriptions to style the various tags (e.g., rubrication, drop-caps, and so on) with CSS. The hover-over feature that expands abbreviations was done using JavaScript. The modal that appears when users access the interactive transcription that displays basic usage instructions was added with Bootstrap4.
Heroku

Heroku is a cloud application platform that allows users to manage their servers for free. The website had to be hosted and Heroku provides a server that hosts the site, the images, and the transcriptions. The limitations of using the free Heroku service are that the server goes to sleep after thirty minutes of inactivity (an approximate 5 sec delay upon loading) and there is a limit of 1000 hours available per month. However, since only one app is running, this makes no difference as the website can be run continuously. Heroku is also well documented and has a large community for support resources that can help newcomers to the tool and developers to use the platform and troubleshoot problems as they arise.

Licensing

I chose to license the website and tool under the Attribution-NonCommercial CC BY-ND license so that the website may be used and built upon by anyone if the usage is non-commercial. I chose this because the guiding principle of this project and tool has been to be openly accessible to all users. This license also permits derivatives of this work to be licensed on different terms if the modifier so chooses.

3.4 Conclusions

Digital projects are more of a process than a final product, and as such are constantly evolving. What I have done provides the foundations for further research and further questions. Chief among these are, where to go from here?

Ideally, the next steps for this project would be to:
• Crowdsource the musical notation for this codex. Having no musical experience, I made the decision not to attempt a transcription of the musical notation found in this chant manuscript. A logical next step for this project would therefore be a transcription of the manuscript’s plainchant notation and subsequent integration into the Cantus database.

• Integrate manuscript (including musical notation) into the Cantus database.

**Conclusion**

Medieval manuscripts, as unique objects that cannot ever be truly replicated, have posed a significant challenge in our globalized world. Access to manuscripts is vital for researchers but the question of how best to bring them into the digital world for easy access (while still maintaining its character as a distinct physical object) had to be asked. This project has sought out to answer this question with a particular take on digital recreation. There are many ways to digitally present a manuscript, this is only one of many. Importantly, I wanted to keep in mind that these objects are both documents and visual art. Therefore, special emphasis was placed on images and an analysis of what is shown on a manuscript leaf or leaves. Indeed, the palaeography and scribal nuances proved to be invaluable in deciphering the origin of the Carleton Antiphonary.

Codicological evidence and content analysis revealed much about the manuscript’s use and relationship to its owners throughout time. This information is made available in peripheral pages surrounding the interactive transcription on the website. This tool juxtaposes images and transcription to offer users a way to engage with this manuscript digitally in a way that at once attempts to recreate the text as it was
originally copied and brings in contextual knowledge to further our understanding of the codex. Furthermore, the roadmap to the project which makes up the second part of this endeavour is advantageous for users who are seeking to establish their own digital copies. In fact, it has been my intention from the start to demonstrate that projects such as this have a role to play in bringing medieval materials out of the proverbial dark and allowing them once again to be catalogued and studied by users around the globe.
Plates (Comparative manuscripts)

Spanish Rotunda circa 1500-1600.

<table>
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<tr>
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<tr>
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<td>5</td>
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Appendix

Accessing the Website

To access the website, copy and paste this URL (https://carleton-antiphonary.herokuapp.com/index.html) into your preferred browser. Please allow up to 10 seconds for the website to initially load.
Sequitur inuitatorium:50

**Primus tonus**

Unte exultemus domino iubilemus deo salutari nostro preoc<e>upemus faciem eius in confessione et in psalmis iubilemus ei.

**Versus** Quoniam deus magnus dominus et rex magnus super omnes deos. Quoniam non repellet dominus plebem suam quia in manu eius sunt omnes fines terre et altitudines moncium ipse conspicit.

**Versus** Quoniam ipsius est mare et ipse fecit illud et aridam fundauerunt manus eius. Unte adoremus et proicdamus ante deum ploremus coram domino qui fecit nos quia ipse est dominus deus noster nos autem populus eius et oues paschue eius.

**Versus** Hodie si uocem eius audieritis nolite obdurare corda uestra. Sicut in exacerbatione secundum diem temptationis in deserto ubi tentauerunt me pa[.3r]tres uestri probauerunt et uiderunt opera mea

**Versus** Quadraginta annis proximus fui generationi huic et dixi semper hii errant corde. Ipsi uero non cognouerunt uias meas quibus iuraui [3v] in ira mea si introibunt in requiem meam


**Secundus tonus**

Unte exultemus domino [f.4r] iubilemus deo salutari nostro preoc<e>upemus faciem eius in confessione et in psalmis iubilemus ei.

**Versus** Quoniam deus magnus dominus et rex magnus super omnes deos. Quoniam non repellet dominus [f.4v] plebem suam quia in manu eius sunt omnes fines terre et altitudines moncium ipse conspicit.

**Versus** Quoniam ipsius est mare et ipse fecit illud et aridam fundauerunt manus eius. Unte adoremus et proicdamus ante deum ploremus coram

---
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domino qui fecit nos quia ipse est dominus deus noster nos autem populus eius et oues paschue eius.

Uersus Hodie si uocem eius audie[f.5v]ritis nolite obdurare corda uestra. Sicut in exacerbatione secundum diem temptationis in deserto ubi tentauerunt me patres uestri probauerunt et uiderunt opera mea

Uersus Quadraviginta [f.6r]annis proximus fui generationi huic et dixi semper hii errant corde. Ipsi uero non cognouerunt uias meus quibus iuraui in ira mea si introibunt in requiem meam

Uersus Gloria patri et filio [f.6v] et spiritui sancto.

Uersus Sicut erat in principio et nunc et semper et in secular seculorum. Amen.

Tertius tonus

Uenite exultemus domino iubilemus deo salutari nostro preoc<c>upemus faciem eius [f.7r] in confessione et in psalmis iubilemus ei.

Uersus Quoniam deus magnus dominus et rex magnus super omnes deos. Quoniam non repellent dominus plebem suam quia in manu eius sunt omnes fines [f.7v] terre et altitudines moncium ipse conspicit.

Uersus Quoniam ipsius est mare et ipse fecit illud et aridam fundauerunt manus eius. Uenite adoremus et procidamus ante deum ploremus coram [f.8r] domino qui fecit nos quia ipse est dominus deus noster nos autem populus eius et oues paschue eius.

Uersus Hodie si uocem eius audieritis nolite obdurare corda uestra. Sicut in exacer[f.8v]batione secundum diem temptationis in deserto ubi tentauerunt me patres uestri probauerunt et uiderunt opera mea

Uersus Quadraviginta annis proximus fui generationi huic [f.9r] et dixi semper hii errant corde. Ipsi uero non cognouerunt uias meus quibus iuraui in ira mea si introibunt in requiem meam

Quartus tonus

Unite exultemus domino iubilemus deo salutari nostro preoc<\textsuperscript>c>upemus faciem eius in confessione et in psalmis iu[f.10r]bilemus ei.

Uersus Quoniam deus magnus dominus et rex magnus super omnes deos. Quoniam non repellet dominus plebem suam quia in manu eius sunt omnes fines terre et altitudines moncium ipse conspicit.

Uersus Quoniam ipsius est mare et ipse fecit illud et aridam fundauerunt manus eius. Unite adoremus et procidamus ante deum plo[f.11r]remus coram domino qui fecit nos quia ipse est dominus deus noster nos autem populus eius et oues paschue eius.

Uersus Hodie si uocem eius audieritis nolite obdu[f.11v]rare corda uestra. Sicut in exacerbatione secundum diem temptationis in deserto ubi tentauerunt me patres uestri probauerunt et uiderunt opera mea

Uersus Quadranginta annis proxif.12r]mus fui generationi huic et dixi semper hii errant corde. Ipsi uero non cognouerunt uias meas quibus iurauui in ira mea si introibunt in requiem meam


Alius quartus tonus

Unite exultemus domino iubilemus deo salutari nostro preoc<\textsuperscript>c>upemus [f.13r] faciem eius in confessione et in psalmis iubilemus ei.

Uersus Quoniam deus magnus dominus et rex magnus super omnes deos. Quoniam non repellet dominus plebem suam [f.13v] quia in manu eius sunt omnes fines terre et altitudines moncium ipse conspicit.

Uersus Quoniam ipsius est mare et ipse fecit illud et aridam fundauerunt manus eius. Unite adom[f.l4r]remus et procidamus ante deum ploremus coram domino qui fecit nos quia ipse est dominus deus noster nos autem populus eius et oues paschue eius.
Hodie si uocem eius audi eritis nolite obdurare corda ustra. Sicut in exacerbatione secundum diem temptationis in deserto ubi tentauerunt me patres uestri probauerunt et uiderunt opera mea

Quadraginta [f.15r] annis proximus fui generationi huic et dixi semper hii errant corde. Ipsi uero non cognouerunt uias meas quibus iuraui in ira mea si introibunt in requiem meam


Tonus quintus

Uenite exultemus domino iubilemus deo salutari nostro preoccupemus faciem [f.16r] eius in confessione et in psalmis iubilemus ei.

Quoniam deus magnus dominus et rex magnus super omnes deos. Quoniam non repellet dominus plebem suam [f.16v] quia in manu eius sunt omnes fines terre et altitudines moncium ipse conspicit.

Quoniam ipsius est mare et ipse fecit illud et aridam fundauerunt manus eius. [17r] Uenite adoremus et procidamus ante deum ploremus coram domino qui fecit nos quia ipse est dominus deus noster nos autem populus eius et oues pascue eius.

[f.17v] Hodie si uocem eius audieritis nolite obdurare corda ustra. Sicut in exacerbatione secundum diem temptationis in deserto ubi tentauerunt me patres uestri probauerunt et uiderunt opera mea

Quadraginta annis proximus fui generationi huic et dixi semper hii errant corde. Ipsi uero non cognouerunt uias meas quibus [f.18r] iuraui in ira mea si introibunt in requiem meam

Gloria patri et filio et spiritui sancto. Sicut erat in principio et nunc et semper et in secular seculorum. Amen. [f.19r]

Sextus tonus

Uenite exultemus domino iubilemus deo salutari nostro preoccupemus faciem eius in confessione et in psalmis iubilemus ei.
**Uersus Quoniam deus magnus [f.19v]** dominus et rex magnus super omnes deos. Quoniam non repellet dominus plebem suam quia in manu eius sunt omnes fines terre et altitudines moncium ipse conspicit.

**Uersus Quoniam ipsius est mare [f.20r]** et ipse fecit illud et aridam fundauerunt manus eius. **Uenite adoremus et procidamus ante deum ploremus coram domino qui fecit nos quia ipse est dominus deus noster nos autem [f.20v] populus eius et oues paschue eius.

**Uersus Hodie si uocem eius audieritis nolite obdurare corda uestra. Sicut in exacerbatione secundum diem temptationis in deserto ubi temptauerunt me patres uestri probauerunt et uiderunt opera mea**

**Uersus Quadraginta annis proximus fui generationi huic et dixi semper hii errant corde. Ipsi uero non cognouerunt uias meas quibus iurau [f.21v] in ira mea si introibunt in requiem meam**

**Uersus Gloria patri et filio et spiritui sancto. Sicut erat in principio et nunc et semper et in secular seculorum. Amen.**

**Septimus tonus**

**Uenite exultemus domino [f.22r]** iubilemus deo salutari nostro preoc<e>upemus faciem eius in confessione et in psalmis iubilemus ei.

**Uersus Quoniam deus magnus dominus et rex magnus super omnes deos. Quoniam non repellet [f.22v] dominus plebem suam quia in manu eius sunt omnes fines terre et altitudines moncium ipse conspicit.**

**Uersus Quoniam ipsius est mare et ipse fécit illud et aridam fundauerunt manus eius. Uenite adoremus et [f.23r] procidamus ante deum ploremus coram domino qui fecit nos quia ipse est dominus deus noster nos autem populus eius et oues paschue eius.**

**Uersus Hodie si uocem eius audieritis nolite obdurare corda ues[f.23v]tra. Sicut in exacerbatione secundum diem temptationis in deserto ubi tentauerunt me patres uestri probauerunt et uiderunt opera mea**

**Uersus Quadraginta annis proximus fui generationi huic et dixi semper [f.24r] hii errant corde. Ipsi uero non cognouerunt uias meas quibus iurau in ira mea si introibunt in requiem meam**

Octauus

Unite exultemus domino iubilemus deo salutari nostro preoc<upemus faciem eius in confessione et in psalmis iubilemus ei.

Uersus Quoniam [f.25r] deus magnus dominus et rex magnus super omnes deos. Quoniam non repellet dominus plebem suam quia in manu eius sunt omnes fines terre et altitudines moncium ipse conspicit.

Uersus Quoniam ipsius [f.25v] est mare et ipse fecit illud et aridam fundauerunt manus eius. Unite adoremus et procidamus ante deum ploremus coram domino qui fecit nos quia ipse est dominus deus noster nos autem populus eius et [f.26r] oues pascue eius.

Uersus Hodie si uocem eius audieritis nolite obdurare corda ustra. Sicut in exacerbatione secundum diem temptationis in deserto ubi tentauerunt me patres uestri probauerunt et [f.26v] uiderunt opera mea

Uersus Quadraginta annis proximus fui generationi huic et dixi semper hii errant corde. Ipsi uero non cognouerunt uias meas quibus iuraui in ira mea si introibunt in requiem meam [f.27r]


Incipit officium in agenda mortuorum

ad uesperas

antiphona Placebo domino in regionem uiuorum

psalmus Dilexi quoniam

antiphona [f.27v] Heu michi quia incolatus meus prolongatus est

---

51 Cantus Feast Code: 1300100 (Pro defunctis)
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psalmus Ad dominum

antiphona Domīnus custodit te ab omni malo custodiat animam tuam. 

psalmus Leuaui

antiphona Si iniquitates observaueris domine [f.28r] domine quis sustinebit 

psalmus De profundis

<antiphona> Opera manuum tuarum domine ne despicias 

psalmus Confitebor 

[in margine] Uerus Audiui uocem domini dicentem m<ichi>  

Antiphonus ad magnificat

Omne quo<q> dat michi pater ad me ueniet et eum qui uenit ad me non eiciam foras psalmus [f.28v] Mag<nificat>

Invitatorium

Regem cui omnia uiuunt psalmus Uenite adoremus 

Uenite exultemus domino psalmus iubilemus deo salutari nostro preocupemus faciem eius in confessione et in psalmis iubilemus et 

55 Cantus ID: 920076?  
56 Cantus ID: 002402 http://cantusindex.org/id/004293  
57 Cantus ID: 920120  
58 Cantus ID: 004899  
59 Cantus ID: 920129  
60 Cantus ID: 004159  
61 Cantus ID: 920110?  
62 Cantus ID: 001528?  
63 Cantus ID: 004115  
64 Cantus ID: 003668  
65 Cantus ID: 001131  
67 Cantus ID: 909030
Uersus …

[folio cut out]


Alius invitatorium

Circundederunt me gemitus mortis
psalmus Dolores inferni circumdederunt me
psalmus Uenite

in primo nocturno : antiphona

Dirige domine deus meus inconspectu tuo uiam meam

psalmus verba

antiphona Conuertere domine et eripe animam quam non est in morte qui memor sit tui

psalmus Domine

antiphona Nequando rapiat ut leo animam dum non est qui redimat neque qui saluum faciat

psalmus Domine deus

versus A porta inferi

responsorium Credo quod redemptor meus uiuit et in nouissimo die de terra surreturus sum

psalmus Et in carne me

---

68 Cantus ID: 004617
69 Cantus ID: 100089
70 Undetermined.
71 Cantus ID: 002244
72 Undetermined.
73 Cantus ID: 001921
74 Undetermined.
75 Cantus ID: 003875
76 Undetermined.
77 Cantus ID: 004899za
uersus a uidebo deum saluatore[f.30v]m meum

uersus Quem uisurus sum ego ipse et non alius et occuli mei conspecturi sunt Et in

uersus Kyrie leyson

responsorium Qui Lazarum resuscitasti a monumento fetidum

psalmus Tu eis domine [f.31r] dona requiem et locum indulgentie

uersus Qui uenturus es iudicare uiuos et mortuos et seculum per ignem

psalmus Tue

uersus Kyrie leyson

responsorium Domine quando ueneris iudica[re terram ubi me abscodam a uultu ire tue

psalmus Quia peccau i nimis in uita mea

psalmus Comissa mea pauesco et ante te rubesco dum ueneris iudicare noli me condempna[re

psalmus Quia

uersus Requiem eternam dona eis domine et lux perpetua luceat eis

psalmus Quia Kyrie leyson

in duo nocturno : antiphona

In loco pascue ibi me collocauit

psalmus dominus re
Delicta iuuentutis mee et ignantias meas ne memineris domine<sup>93</sup>

psalmus Ad te domine

Credo uidere bona domini<sup>94</sup> in terra uiuencium<sup>95</sup>

psalmus Dominus illu<sup>96</sup>

uersus Collocet eos dominus<sup>97</sup>

Memento mei deus quia uentus est uita mea

psalmus Nec aspici [f.33r] et me uisus hominis<sup>98</sup>

uersus De profundis clamaui ad te domine domine exaudi uocem meam

psalmus Nec<sup>99</sup>

Heu michi domine quia peccaui nimis in uita mea quid faciam miser u[f.33v]bi fugiam nisi ad te deus meus miserere mei

psalmus Dum ueneris in nouissimo die<sup>100</sup>

uersus Anima mea turbata est ualde sed tu domine sucurre ei<sup>101</sup>

psalmus Miser<sup>102</sup>

Kyrie leyson<sup>103</sup>

Ne recorderis peccata mea domine

psalmus Dum ueneris iudicare seculum per ignem<sup>104</sup>

Dirige domine deus meus in conspectu tuo uiam me[.]<sup>105</sup>

Dum<sup>106</sup>

Requiem eternam dona eis domine et lux perpetua luceat eis<sup>107</sup>

psalmus Dum<sup>108</sup>

antiphona in tertio nocturno
Complaceat tibi domine ut eripias me ad adivuandum me respice
psalmus Expectans
antiphona Sana [f.35r] domine animam meam quia peccavi tibi
psalmus Beatus qui
antiphona Situuit anima mea ad deum uium quando ueniam et apparebo ante faciem domini
psalmus Quemadmodum
uersus Ne tradas bestias
responsorium Peccantem me cotidie et non me penitentem timor mortis conturbat me
psalmus Quia in inferno nulla est redemptio misere mei deus et salua me
uersus Deus in nomine tuo saluum me fac et in uirtute tua libera me
psalmus Quia : kyrie leysa
responsorium [f.36r] Domum secundum actum meum noli me iudicare nichil dignum in conspectu tuo ideo deprecor maiestatem tuam
psalmus Ut tu deus deleas iniquitatem meam
uersus Amplius laua me domine ab injusticia mea et a delicto meo munda
psalmus Ut kyrie leysa
responsorium Libera me domine de uis inferni qui portas creas confregisti et uisitas infernum et dedisti eis lumen ut uiderent te
psalmus Qui erant in penis tenebrarum
uersus Clamantes et dicentes aduenisti redemptor noster
psalmus Qui e<br> kryie leysa
responsorium Libera me domine de morte eterna in die illa
[later addition : Christe leysa, kyrie leysa]
psalmus Quando celi mouendi sunt et terra
psalmus Dum ueneris uindicare seculum per ignem
uersus Remens factus sum ego et timeo dum discusio uenerit atque uentura ira
psalmus Quando
uersus Dies [f.38r] illa dies ire calamitatis et miserie dies magna et amara
psalmus Dum
uersus Requiem eternam dona eis domine et lux perpetua luceat eis
responsorium Libera kyrie leyson. christe leyson. kyrie leyson.

Ad laudes antiphonus [f.38v]

Exultabunt domino ossa humiliata
psalmus Miserere
antiphona Exaudi domine orationem meam ad te omnis caro ueniet
psalmus Te de
antiphona Me suscet dextera tua domine
psalmus Deus deus
antiphona Aporta inferi erue domine [f.39r] animam meam
psalmus Ego di
antiphona Omnis spiritus laudet dominum
psalmus Laudate
uersus Audiui uoecem

Ad benedictio

125 Cantus ID: 007091
126 Cantus ID: 007091x
127 Cantus ID: 007091zax?
128 Cantus ID: 007091g.1
129 Cantus ID: 007091u
130 Cantus ID: 007092?
131 Cantus ID: 909041.2
132 Cantus ID: 002810
133 Cantus ID: 007091m / 007091zba / 007091zh (multiple possibilites)
134 Cantus ID: 002767
135 g01566a?
136 Cantus ID: 003725
137 Cantus ID: 004899za
138 Cantus ID: 006107 / 007995
139 Cantus ID: 004154
140 Cantus ID: 007091zd
Ego sum resurrectio et uita qui credit in me etiam si mortuus fuerit uiet et omnis qui credit in me non morietur in eternum 

psalmus Benedictus 

responsorium Subuenite sancti dei occurrite angeli domini 

psalmus Suscipientes animam euis 

psalmus Offerentes eam in conspectu altissimi 

uersus Suscipiatis Christus qui creavit te et in [f.40r] sinu Abrae angeli deducant te 

psalmus Suscipientes kyrie leysone 

uersus Requie in eternam dona eis domine et lux perpetua ueceat ei 

psalmus Offerentes 

responsorium In paradisum deducant te angeli in tuo aduentu suscipiat te 

uersus Chorus angelorum te suscipiat et cum lazaro quondam paupere eternam habeau requiem 

antiphonus in personae defuncti dicat 

Aperite michi portas iustici et ingressus in eas confitebor domino 

psalmus [f.41r] Confitemini. deus 

antiphona Ingrediar in locum tabernaculi admirabilis usquam ad domum dei 

psalmus Quemadmodum 

antiphona Nec requies mea in seculum seculi hic habitabo quoniam elegi eam 

psalmus O demento 

antiphona De terra formasti me carnem in[e41v]duisti me redemptor meus domine resuscita me in nouissimo die 

psalmus Domine probati 

---

141 Cantus ID: 002601
142 Undetermined.
143 Cantus ID: 007716
144 Cantus ID: 007716a
145 Undetermined.
146 Cantus ID: 007477a
147 Cantus ID: 909040
148 Cantus ID: g01587
149 Cantus ID: a00221
150 Undetermined.
151 Cantus ID: 003335
152 Undetermined.
153 Cantus ID: 003012
154 Cantus ID: 002123
155 Cantus ID: 920138?
antiphona Non intres in iudicium cum spirituo tuo domine quia non iustificabitur in conspectu tuo omnis uiuens\textsuperscript{156}

psalmus Domine exa\textsuperscript{audi> i.\textsuperscript{157}}

antiphona \textsuperscript{[f.42r]} Omnis spiritus laudet dominum\textsuperscript{158}

psalmus Laudate domine deus\textsuperscript{159}

antiphona Ego sum\textsuperscript{160}

[new hand]

patrem omnipotentem fa\textsuperscript{c>}torem celi et terra visi\textsuperscript{b>}ilium omnium et in-
visi\textsuperscript{b>}ilium et in unum dominum ihesum christum filium dei unige\textsuperscript{n}\textsuperscript{tum
Et ex patre \textsuperscript{[f.42v]} natum ante om\textsuperscript{n}>ia secula, deum de deo, lumen de
lumine, deum verum de deo vero, genitum non factum, consustanciali\textsuperscript{em\textsuperscript{161}}
patri per quem omnia facta sunt qui propter nos homines et propter nostram
salutem desce\textsuperscript{n}\textsuperscript{dit de celis fa\textsuperscript{c>}tus est crucifixus eciam pro nobis sub
pontio pilato, \textsuperscript{[f.43r]} passus et sepultus est, et resurrexit tercia die. Secundum
scrituras et ascendit in celum sedet ad dexteram patris et iterum venturus est
cum gloria iudicare uiuos et mortuos cuius Regni non erit finit et in spiritum
sanctum domininum
et uiuificantem qui ex patre filioque pro\textsuperscript{cedi}t\textsuperscript{162} [43v]

Blank folio. [44r]

Sabbato in aduentum domini. Hymnus\textsuperscript{163}

Conditor alme siderum, eterna lux creden\textsuperscript{tium
christe redemptor omnium exaudi preces supplicum
Qui <…>\textsuperscript{164}

ad nona

Uerbum supernum prodiens a patre olim exiens qui natus orbi subuenis cursu
decliui temporis. Illumina <…>\textsuperscript{165} [f.44v]

Ad laudes

\textsuperscript{156} Cantus ID: 203301
\textsuperscript{157} Cantus ID: 920016? (exaudi domine justitiam)
\textsuperscript{158} Cantus ID: 004154
\textsuperscript{159} Cantus ID: 920021??
\textsuperscript{160} Cantus ID: 002601
\textsuperscript{161} i.e. consubstantiali\textsuperscript{em
\textsuperscript{162} Cantus ID: 509503 (Credo)
\textsuperscript{163} Feast Code: 01011000
\textsuperscript{164} Cantus ID: 008284
\textsuperscript{165} Cantus ID: a01548
Uox clara ecce intonat\footnote{Cantus ID: 008413
Feast Code: 02122500}

Hymnus in natalis domini ad uesperas et ad nona\footnote{Cantus ID: ah02022
Feast Code: 07007000}

Christe redemptor omnium ex patre patris unice solus ante principium natus ineffabiliter Tu lumen\footnote{Cantus ID: 008248.1
Feast Code: 05010600}

ad laudes

A\footnote{Cantus ID: 830364
Feast Code: 07007000} solis or\footnote{Cantus ID: 008248h
Feast Code: 07007000} us cardine ad usque terre limitem christum canamus principem [f.45r]
natum maria uirgine Beatus\footnote{Cantus ID: 008267.1
Feast ID: 008300.2}

in epiphania domini\footnote{Cantus ID: 008300.2}

Hostis herodes impie christum uenire quid times non arripit mortalia qui regna dat celestia\footnote{Cantus ID: 008267.1
Feast Code: 07007000} Ibant\footnote{Cantus ID: 008300.2}

sabbato quadragesime hymnus\footnote{Cantus ID: 008300.2}

Audi benigne conditor nostras preces cum fletibus in hoc sacro ieiunio [f.45v]

fusas quadragenario Scrutator\footnote{Cantus ID: 008267.1
Feast Code: 07007000}

ad nona

Ex more docti mistico seruemus hoc ieiunium deno dierum circulo ducto quater notissimo Lex\footnote{Cantus ID: 008300.2}

ad laudes
Iam criste sol iusticie mentis dehiscant tenebre uirtutum ut lux redeat terris
diem cum re[f.46r]para Dans\textsuperscript{176}
in dominicis diebus

Aures ad nostras deitatis preces deus inclina pietate sola supplicum uota
suscie precamur famuli tui\textsuperscript{177} Respice\textsuperscript{178}
in dominica de passione\textsuperscript{179}

Uexilla regis prodeunt fulget crucis misterium quo carne carnis conditor
suspensus [f.46v] est patibulo Quo\textsuperscript{180}
ad nona

Pange li<n>gua gloriosi prelrium certaminis et super crucis trophuem dic
triumphum nobilem qualiter redemptor orbis immolatus uicerit De\textsuperscript{181}
ad laudes

Lustris sex qui\textsuperscript{182}
dominica prima post pascha\textsuperscript{183}

Ad cenam agni prouidi et stolis albis [f.47r] candidi post transitum maris
rubri christo canamus principi Cuius\textsuperscript{184}
ad nona

Rex externe\textsuperscript{185}
ad laudes

\textsuperscript{176} Cantus ID: 008325
\textsuperscript{177} Cantus ID: 008269 (Dom. 1 Quadragesimae)
\textsuperscript{178} Cantus ID: 008269a
\textsuperscript{179} Feast Code: 07051000
\textsuperscript{180} Cantus ID: 008410.1
\textsuperscript{181} Cantus ID: g00960.1
\textsuperscript{182} Cantus ID: g00965.1
\textsuperscript{183} Feast Code: 08021000
\textsuperscript{184} Cantus ID: 008249
\textsuperscript{185} Undetermined.
Aurora

in uigilia ascensio

Ihesu nostra redemptio amor et desiderium deus creator omnium homo in fine temporum Que te

ad nona

Eterne rex [f.47v] altissime redemptor et fidelium quo mors soluta deperit datur triumphus gratiae Scandens

In uigilia sancto pentacosta

Ueni creator spiritus mentes tuorum uisita imple superna gratia que tu creasti pectora Qui

ad nona

Iam christus astra ascenderat regressus [f.48r] unde uenerat promissum patris munere sanctum daturus spiritum Solennis

ad laudes

Beata nobis

in festo trinitatis

In maiestatis solio tres sedent in triclinio nam non est consolatio perfecto solitario Eterne

ad nona

O lux beata trinitas [f.48v] tres un<t>um trium unio imperialis unitas in trium contubernio O pater in nas<cibilis>

---

186 Cantus ID: 008271
187 Feast Code: 08065000
188 Cantus ID: g01595
189 Cantus ID: 008255.1
190 Cantus ID: 008255b
191 Feast Code: 08081000
192 Cantus ID: g01590.1
193 Cantus ID: 008327.1
194 Cantus ID: 008273
195 Feast Code: 09011000
196 Cantus ID: 830370
197 Cantus ID: 008358
198 Undetermined.
ad laudes
Festi laudes hodierni ritu ductas
annuo ciues gaudio superni celebrant perpetuo regem trinum dum
tertrini chori laudent mutuo Uita melos

de corpore christi hymnus [f.49r]

Pange lingua gloriosi corporis misterium sanguinisque preciosi quem in
mundi precium fructus uentris generosi rex effudit gentium Nobis

ad nona
Sacris solenniis iUNCTA sint gaudia et ex precordiis sonent preconia recedant
uete[f.49v]ra nouvelle sint omnia corda uoces et opera Noctis

ad laudes
Uerbum supernum prodiens nec patris linquens dexteram ad opus suum
exiens uenit ad uite uesperam in

sabbato ad uesperas

O lux beata trinitas et principalis unitas iam [f.50r] sol recedit igneus infunde
lumen cordibus Te

dominico ad uesperas

Lucis creator optime lucem dierum proferens primordiis lucis noue mundi
parans originem Qui

in conversione sancti pauli hymnus

Doctor egregie Paule mores instrue et mente polum [f.50v] nos transferre
satage donec perfectum largiatur plenius euacuato quod ex parte gerimus Sit
trinitati sempiterna gloria honor potestas atque iubilatio in unitate cui manet
inperum ex
tune et modo per eterna secula Amen [f.51r]
Quodcumque uincli super terram strinxerit erit in astra religatum fortiter et quod resoluit in terris arbitrio erit solutum super celi radium infine mundi iudex erit seculi\(^{209}\) Gloria deo per immensa secula sit tibi nate decus et imperium hon[.51v]nor potestas sanctoque spiritui sit trinitati salus indiuidua per infinita seculorum seula Amen\(^{210}\)

ad laudes

Iam bone pastor Petre clemens accipe uota precantum et peccati uincula resolue tibi potestate tradita qua cunctis celum uerbo [f.51v] claudis aperis\(^{211}\) Sit trinitati\(^{212}\)

in sancti antonii\(^{213}\) ad uesperas hymnus

En gratulemur hodie christo regi iocundius in cuius aula glorie iam iubilat antonius Francisci\(^{214}\)

ad nona

Laus regi plena gaudio que merces militantium seipsum dat antonio militie stipendium In\(^{215}\) [f.52v]

ad laudes

Iesu lux uera mencium\(^{216}\)

ad uesperas

C<h>ori nostri preconium laudes resultet domino miranda per antonium more patranti pristino Tiberi\(^{217}\)

in sancti ioanne baptiste\(^{218}\)

---

\(^{208}\) Feast Code: 14022200
\(^{209}\) Cantus ID: 008302d
\(^{210}\) Cantus ID: a01133.1 *not an exact match
\(^{211}\) Cantus ID: 008268
\(^{212}\) Cantus ID: 008268h
\(^{213}\) Feast Code: 14061300
\(^{214}\) Cantus ID: a01924
\(^{215}\) Cantus ID: 830354.1
\(^{216}\) Cantus ID: 830353
\(^{217}\) Undetermined.
\(^{218}\) Feast Code: 14062400
Ut queant laxis resonare fibris mira gestorum famuli tuorum solue polluti labii reatum [f.53r] sante ioannes Nuncius

ad nona

Antra deserti

ad laudes

O nimis fe<lix>

in sanctorum petri et pauli

Aurea luce et decore roseo lux lucis omne perfudisti seculum decorans celos inclito martirio hac sacra die que dat reis ueniam Ianito>r> celi doctor [f.53v] orbis pariter iudices seculi uera mundi lumina per crucem alter alter ense triumphans uite senatum laureati possidet Sit

in sancte mariae magdalene

Nardi maria pistici sumpsit libram mox optimi unxit beatos domini pedes rigando [f.54r] lachrimis Honor decus imperium sit trinitati unice patri nato paraclito per infinita secula Amen

in uincula sancti petri

Petrus beatus cathenarum laqueos christo iubente rupit mirabiliter custos ouilis et doctor ecclesie pastorque gregis conseruator [f.54v] o>nium arcens luporum truculentam rabiem Gloria

in transfiguratio domini ad uesperas

<A>ude mater pietati in ua>l>e gementium pro ducatu dignitatis christi regis omnium quo dilecta predotaris in culmine montium Ubi

219 Cantus ID: 008406.1
220 Cantus ID: 008406d
221 Cantus ID: a01596
222 Feast Code: 1406900
223 Cantus ID: 008268a
224 Cantus ID: 008343b.1
225 Feast Code: 14080100
226 Cantus ID: 008302c
227 Feast Code: 14080600
228 Rubricator/ scribe incorrectly rubricated with P (I think the guide letter is also a p, wrongly).
229 Cantus ID: 830131
230 Cantus ID: 830131a
ad nona

Exultet laudibus [f.55r] sacra concio celi agminibus iuncta tripudio pro uerbi gratia qua splendent omnia terra pontus et ethera

ad laudes

Nouum sidus exoritur christi pia clementia quo tenebrosa premitur inimici seuitia Insurgere

in sancte clare ad uesperas hymnus [f.55v]

Concinat plebs fidelium vir

ad nona

Generat virgo filias

ad laudes

O clara luce clarior

ad uesperas

En preclara uirgo clara

in assumptione beate marie

Aue maris stella dei mater alma adque semper uirgo felix celi porta Sumens

ad nona

---

231 Cantus ID: 830439
232 Cantus ID: 830439a
233 Undetermined.
234 Feast Code: 14081200
235 Cantus ID: 830348
236 Cantus ID: 830350
237 Cantus ID: a02008
238 Undetermined.
239 Feast Code: 14081500
240 Cantus ID: 008272.1
Quem [f.56r] terra pontus ethera colunt adorant predicant trinam regentem machinam claustrum marie baiulat\textsuperscript{241}
ad laudes

O gloriosa domina\textsuperscript{242}
in sancti ludouici confessoris\textsuperscript{243}

Urgente mundi uespere sol\textsuperscript{244}
ad nona

Dum medium silentium\textsuperscript{245}
ad laudes

Ut lux aurore rutilat\textsuperscript{246}
ad uesperas

Ludouicus ut ami\textsuperscript{247} [f.56v]
in sancti michaelis\textsuperscript{248}: hymnus

Tibi christe splendor patris uita uirtus cordium in conspectu angelorum uotis
uoce psallimus alternantes concrepando melos damus uocibus\textsuperscript{249}
ad laudes

Christe sanctorum decus angelorum rector humani generis et auctor nobis
[f.57r] eternum tribue benignus scandere celum\textsuperscript{250}

\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{241} Cantus ID: 008375
\item \textsuperscript{242} Cantus ID: 007270
\item \textsuperscript{243} Feast Code: 14082500
\item \textsuperscript{244} Undetermined.
\item \textsuperscript{245} Undetermined.
\item \textsuperscript{246} Undetermined.
\item \textsuperscript{247} Undetermined.
\item \textsuperscript{248} Feast Code: 14092900
\item \textsuperscript{249} Cantus ID: 008403
\item \textsuperscript{250} Cantus ID: 008279
\end{itemize}
In sancti francisci

Proles de celo prodiit

ad nona

In <c>elesi collegio

ad laudes

Plaude turba

ad uesperas

Decus morum dux minorum franciscus tenens brauium interite datur uite
christe redemptor omnium [f.57v]

in festo omnium sanctorum

Christe redemptor omnium conservatuos famulos beate semper virginis
placatus sanctis precibus Beata

ad laudes

Iesu salvator

in natali apostolorum

Exultet celum laudibus resultet terra gaudiis apostolorum gloria sacra canunt
solennia [f.58r]

ad nona

---

251 Cantus ID: 830360
252 Scribe wrote a “e” for c, “elesti”
253 Cantus ID: 830351
254 Cantus ID: 830359
255 Cantus ID: 830096
256 Cantus ID: 008276
257 Cantus ID: 008276a
258 Cantus ID: 008333
259 Feast Code: 12019000
260 Cantus ID: 008301
Eterna christi munera apostolorum gloria laudes canentes debitas letis
   canamus mentibus Ecclesiarum 261

de uno martyre 262

Deus tuorum militum sors et corona premium laudes canentes martiris
   absolue nexu criminis Hic 263

ad laudes hymnus [f.58v]

Martir dei qui unicum patris sequendo filium uictis triumphans hostibus
   uictor
   fruens celestibus Tu 264

de plurimorum martirum 265 hymnus

Sanctorum meritis inclita gaudia pangamus soci gestaque fortia nam gliscit
   animus promere cantibus [f.59r] uictorum genus optimum Hic sunt 266

ad nona

Eterna cristi 267

ad laudes

Rex gloriose martirum corona confitentium qui respuentes terrena perducis
   ad celestia Aurem 268

In natum confessoris pontifi ci 269

Iste confessor domini sacratus festa plebs cuius celebrat per orbem hodie
   lec[f.59v]tus meruit secreta scandere celi 270

ad laudes

261 Cantus ID: a01580
262 Feast Code: 12002000
263 Cantus ID: 008294.1
264 Cantus ID: 008346
265 Feast Code: 12003000
266 Cantus ID: 008390
267 Feast Code: 12004100
270 Cantus ID: 008323
Iesu redemptor omnium perpes corona presulum in hac die clementius nostris faueto precibus

non pontifici ad laudes

Iesu corona celsior

de virginum

Iesu corona uirgi

ad nona

Uirginis proles opifexque matris [f.60r] uirgo quem gessit peperitque <virgo> u<ir>ginis festum canimus trophæum accipe uotam

in dedicatione <ecclesie>

Urbs beata hierusalem dicta pacis uisio que construitur in celis uius ex lapidibus et angelis <oro>nata ut sponsata comite

ad laudes [f.60v]

Angularis

ad primam

Iam lucis orto sidere deum precemur suplices ut in diurnis actibus nos seruet

ad terciam

Nunc sancte nobis spiritus unum patri cum filio dignare promptus ingeri

ad sextam
Rector potens uerax des qui [f.61r] temperas rerum uices splendore mane instruis et ignibus meridiem\textsuperscript{282} ad nonam

Rerum deus tenax uigor immotus in te permanens lucis diurne tempora successibus determinans T e lucis ante terminum rerum creator poscimus ut solita clementia [f.61 v] sis presul ad custodiam\textsuperscript{283}

in uisitatione beate marie\textsuperscript{284}

In mariam uite uiam matrem ueram uientium pie uenit qui redemit p<e>cta delinquentium Gressum cepit\textsuperscript{285} ad nonam [62r]

[rest cut off… end of first manuscript]

Lamentatio Jeremie prophete\textsuperscript{286}

Incipit lamentatio ieremie prophete

Aleph

Quomodo sedet sola ciuitas plena populo facta est quasi uidua domina gencium princeps prouinciarum facta est sub tributo\textsuperscript{287}

Beth

Plorans plorauit in nocte et lacr[62v]me eis in maxillis eius non est qui consoletur eam ex o<m>nibus caris eius omnes amici eius spreuerunt eam et facti sunt ei inimici\textsuperscript{288} Iherusalem. iherusalem. conuertere ad dominum deum tuum\textsuperscript{289}

ORO\textsuperscript{290}

\textsuperscript{282} Cantus ID: 008380 (Dom. per annum)
\textsuperscript{283} Cantus ID: 008382 (Dom. per annum)
\textsuperscript{284} Feast Code: 14070200
\textsuperscript{285} Cantus ID: 830161
\textsuperscript{286} An essential text used for the services of Tenebrae (3 days preceding Easter)
\textsuperscript{287} Cantus ID: 850096
\textsuperscript{288} Cantus ID: 850096a
\textsuperscript{289} Cantus ID: 850330
\textsuperscript{290} Oratio, In Sabbato Sancto, Feast Code: 07067000. Rubrication ambiguous.
Incipit oracio ieremie prophete\textsuperscript{291} [f.63r]

Recordare domine quid acciderit nobis intuere et respice obprobrium nostrum.\textsuperscript{292} Hereditas nostra uersa est ad alienos domus nostre ad extraneos;\textsuperscript{293} pupilli facti sumus absque patre matres nostre [f.63v] quasi uidue.\textsuperscript{294} Aquam nostram peccunia bibimus. Ligna nostra precio conparauimus.\textsuperscript{295} Ceruicibus minabamur lapssis non dabatur requies. Egipto dedimus manum et Assirius ut [f.64r] saturaremur pane\textsuperscript{296} Patres nostri pecauerunt et non sunt et nos iniquitates eorum portauimus.\textsuperscript{297} Serui dominati sunt nostri et non fuit qui redimeret de manibus eorum\textsuperscript{298} in animabus [f.64v] nostris afferebamus panem nobis a facie gladii in deserto.\textsuperscript{299} Pellis nostra quasi clibanus exusta est a facia tenpestatum famis.\textsuperscript{300} Mulieres in sion humiliauerunt uirgines in ciuitatibus iuda\textsuperscript{301} [f.65r]

<… page missing>

[65r] [Conceptio Marie Virginis: antiphona]

<Decuit virginem ea pur> itate nitere qua major sub deo nequit intellegi alleluya\textsuperscript{302}

psalmus \textsc{N}isi dominus\textsuperscript{303}

antiphona \textsc{H}ec est uirga in qua nec nodus originalis nec cortex uenialis culpe fuit\textsuperscript{304} alleluya

psalmus Lauda iherusalem\textsuperscript{305}

antiphona \textsc{[f.65v]} Unica est columba mea una est perfecta mea una est genitricis sue electa uiderunt eam anime sancte et inmaculatam predicauerunt alleluya\textsuperscript{306}

psalmus Magnificat>
<...>

End of ms.